

LOWELL CITY COUNCIL

MEMORANDUM



DATE: January 7, 2022

TO: Mayor DeVore and the City Council

FROM: Michael T. Burns, City Manager *MTB*

RE: Friday Memo

Attached to the Friday memo are the following:

- Cancellation notice of the Monday January 10, 2022 Arbor Board Meeting
- Agenda for the Monday January 10, 2022 Planning Commission Meeting
- January 7, 2022 memorandum from Dan Czarnecki regarding a sanitary sewer repair on Elizabeth St
- January 4, 2022 Ann Arbor Press article on request for Ann Arbor City Council to strike down local prostitution ordinance
- January 6, 2022 Bridge Michigan article on Michigan Legislature non-disclosure agreement regarding tax breaks.
- Michigan Department of Treasury report on number of Recreational Marijuana Licenses in Michigan as of 9/30/21

As we are now dealing with the Omicron mutation of the Coronavirus, luckily, it has not had much impact on staffing as of yet. However, the Police Department has made the decision to suspend fingerprinting services for the time being until the exposure numbers subside. They have also made some other modifications to their procedures to keep them safe.

Tuesday afternoon, Mark Anderson, Betsy Davidson, Dan Czarnecki and I met with Dave Austin for an update on the trail project. The project will now be constructed in two phases beginning in 2023. This is mainly due to getting items approved by the railroad. Dave Austin will be presenting information to you regarding the project at the January 18, 2022 City Council meeting.

Wednesday, I met with a representative from the Michigan Economic Development Corporation and Brent Slagell to discuss his grant request for the Lineshack project. We discussed the local contribution component. As I mentioned previously, the brownfield capture was becoming more difficult for him to justify. Brent will no longer be seeking this. We discussed energy incentives which could be made available to him from Lowell Light and Power and possibly be included as a portion of the local contribution requirement. I am also working on putting together a handicapped ramp reimbursement program for the DDA, which could be made available to all DDA businesses, including him. I am putting this program together for possible consideration by the DDA and hope to present it in February and include in next fiscal year's budget.

As for the development agreement for the Lineshack. Brent is reviewing the thirty-one-page document at the moment.

That afternoon, I attended Rotary where Steve Donkersloot gave a presentation about future energy capacity. It was very interesting.

Prior to the holiday, I informed you of an emergency repair at the wastewater treatment plant. If you recall, I informed you of approximately \$25,000 in parts we needed to order for the repair. Last week after reviewing

three quotes, I approved for Northwest Kent Mechanical to complete the emergency repair at a cost not to exceed \$15,924.

Today, I was informed of a needed emergency repair of the sanitary sewer on Elizabeth Street. Please see Dan Czarnecki's memo on this.

Also today, I learned we will receive marijuana excise tax from five facilities in the City (see attached). I am not certain as to how much we will receive per facility. I am hearing rumors however, of approximately \$40,000 per facility or \$200,000 for us. Although, I don't expect to receive accurate information until February.

Speaking of budgets, normally during the first week of January, I review activity for every line item in the City budget for the first six months of the fiscal year. What I look for is areas that are abnormal. Mainly, I look at how much we have spent this year and compare it to last year's expenditures. If I see a line item where we have spent a lot more than anticipated to this point or is more than what we spent last year, I go through each expenditure and if it is something we need to monitor more, I inform the Department Director. If something requires we need more information, I request they investigate it. So far, I have informed you of the major issues already. However, there are always little things I find and I have discussed my findings with the appropriate director, but the budget is in good shape so far. I spent most of yesterday and today completing this task.

This week, Deputy Treasurer Lori Gerard has informed me she intends to retire at the end of this year. This week she began her 23rd year of service with the City of Lowell. I am glad she is giving us time to transition her role, because she does a lot for this City and organization. However, she will be missed and I wish her a happy retirement later this year.

This is all I have. Have a good weekend.



301 East Main Street
Lowell, Michigan 49331
Phone (616) 897-8457
Fax (616) 897-4085

*THE
ARBOR BOARD
MEETING SCHEDULED FOR
MONDAY, JANUARY 10, 2022
HAS BEEN RESCHEDULED TO
MARCH 14, 2022*



301 East Main Street
Lowell, Michigan 49331
Phone (616) 897-8457
Fax (616) 897-4085

PLANNING COMMISSION-CITIZEN ADVISORY COMMITTEE
CITY OF LOWELL, MICHIGAN
AGENDA

FOR THE REGULAR MEETING OF
MONDAY, JANUARY 10, 2022 AT 7:00 P.M.

1. CALL TO ORDER: PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE, ROLL CALL
2. ELECTION OF OFFICERS AND ORGANIZATION
 - a. Chair
 - b. Vice Chair
 - c. Review and adoption of Planning Commission Rules of Procedure
 - d. Meeting Dates
3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
4. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS
 - a. November 8, 2020 – Regular Meeting
5. PUBLIC COMMENTS AND COMMUNICATIONS CONCERNING ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA
6. OLD BUSINESS
7. NEW BUSINESS
 - a. Public Hearing – Betten Baker Auto Group – Rezoning Request for 211 N. Pleasant Ave.
 - b. Public Hearing – Trinity Cannabis – Special Land Use - 2125 Bowes Road
 - c. Prepare Planning Commission Annual Report for Council
8. STAFF REPORT
9. COMMISSIONERS REMARKS
10. ADJOURNMENT

Memorandum



DATE: January 7, 2022

TO: Michael Burns, City Manager *MB*

FROM: Daniel Czarnecki, Public Works Director

PUBLIC WORKS

RE: Sanitary Sewer Repair Elizabeth St.

Recently, we had Plummer Environmental in town to perform routine cleaning of several dead end sanitary sewer lines. One line they tried to clean was on Elizabeth St. from Amity St. towards Bushnell Elementary School. This line has up to 3 houses connected to it, plus the school itself. A large amount of flow is present in this line during school days.

While cleaning the line they found a problem getting all the way through it to the next manhole. Since they could not get through the sewer pipe to the school, they tried running a camera down it. Their camera found two broken pipes (pictures attached). At 93-ft they found the top of the sewer pipe missing and a large rock dangling through the hole. Behind the rock is a void in the soil above the pipe. At 101.9-ft they found another broken top to the pipe with several large rocks waiting to fall through. They could not get any further up this pipe with their camera.

The DPW contacted Earthworm Dozing & Excavating and they provide a quote of \$4,000 to excavate the two areas and fix the pipe. They did not include any street repair work, that will be the City's responsibility in the spring.

While spring would be a better time to fix the problem and repair the street, we would like to get this line fixed immediately. There are concerns with this line being connected to the elementary school and the possibility of the rocks falling into the sewer and blocking flow. The excavated area will be replaced with gravel until we can have it properly repaired in the spring. While the pipe is being repaired we will be sure to examine the remaining pipe for any issues.

ELIZABETH ST




© 2013 REGIS All Rights Reserved



This map does not represent a legal document. It is intended to serve as an aid in graphic representation only. Information shown on this map is not warranted for accuracy and should be verified through other means. Any duplication is restricted under copyright laws and the Enhanced Access to Public Records Act, PA 462 of 1996, as amended.

Printed 1/7/2022 8:51:30 AM



#1
Looking up thru top of pipe

Counter: 101.9

Code: XP (Collapse Pipe Sewer)

From:

To:

Remarks:

Diam:

10:42 05.01.22

LC1: 101.90 ft

#2

Advertisement

Ann Arbor

Sex worker group urges Ann Arbor officials to strike down local prostitution law

Updated: Jan. 04, 2022, 11:33 a.m. | Published: Jan. 04, 2022, 11:32 a.m.

22

Ann Arbor City Council returns to in-person meetings



ANN ARBOR, MI — A regional sex worker advocacy group is calling on Ann Arbor officials to strike down the city's law against prostitution.

Zee St. James, a sex worker rights activist, addressed the Ann Arbor City Council Monday night, Jan. 3, on behalf of ANSWER Detroit, a group of sex workers formed over two years ago with a mission to decriminalize sex work.

The group's name stands for A Network of Sex Workers to Excite Revolution.

"We are a peer-led organization that advocates on behalf of the rights of sex workers and other people in the sex trade," St. James said. "Although we are based in the Detroit area, we're involved in efforts on the state and national levels and have members who are Ann Arbor constituents, as well as members who work and go to school in Ann Arbor."

St. James spoke out after council voted to give initial approval to a new disorderly conduct ordinance that states in part: "No person shall solicit or accost any other person in a public place for the purpose of inducing participation in an act of prostitution." A violation is a misdemeanor crime punishable by up to 90 days in jail and/or a \$500 fine.

The local prohibition and penalties are not new and carry over from an existing ordinance that is being updated.

The ordinance revisions simply make the penalties clearer and change pronouns to use gender-neutral language, while also omitting some provisions that are more appropriately handled under state law, said Deputy City Attorney Arianne Slay, who is leading the city's ordinance reform project.

Advertisement

Council members voted 9-0 without discussion in favor of the new ordinance continuing the prohibition on prostitution and did not respond to requests for comment after the meeting on the concerns raised by ANSWER Detroit.

Council Members Jeff Hayner, D-1st Ward, and Linh Song, D-2nd Ward, were absent.

The ordinance comes back to council for a public hearing and second reading Jan. 18.

Washtenaw County Prosecutor Eli Savit announced when he took office in January 2021 the prosecutor's office will no longer criminally prosecute people engaged in consensual sex work, focusing instead on cases involving human trafficking, sexual assault and sexual exploitation of children.

"The Washtenaw County Prosecutor's Office is well aware that sex work carries an increased risk for violence, human trafficking and coercion. Data and experience, however, have shown that criminalizing sex work does little to alleviate those harms," Savit wrote in the policy.

ANSWER Detroit now wants Ann Arbor to strike down its prostitution law "to make our lives and the lives of our families and communities safer," St. James said.

"We are in a housing crisis, people are struggling financially," she said, mentioning difficulties of the pandemic and arguing the most vulnerable are open to being profiled for soliciting.

"And although ANSWER commends Prosecutor Eli Savit on his policy to not bring charges for adult consensual sex work, we need to remove the city ordinance to ensure the safety of populations most vulnerable to racist and transphobic profiling and police violence," she said. "Amending this ordinance is a tangible way we could prioritize the rights and safety of transgender residents, houseless residents and any other residents profiled as soliciting."

The city needs to get rid of laws that criminalize poverty, St. James said, arguing taxpayer funds used for police enforcement of such ordinances can be diverted into programs such as non-police crisis response and non-punitive voluntary services from trusted service providers who are informed about trauma and lived experiences of systemic violence.

MORE FROM THE ANN ARBOR NEWS:

[Residents urge Ann Arbor officials to decriminalize glue sniffing](#)

[Anti-Israel activist announces campaign for Ann Arbor City Council](#)



MENU

Michigan's nonpartisan, nonprofit news source

The gift that gives all year long. Please support our work.



TRENDING:

[Coronavirus Michigan](#) | [Gov. Gretchen Whitmer](#) | [Michigan K-12 schools](#) | [Upper Peninsula](#) | [Rural Michigan](#)

Michigan Government

Michigan embraces silence in tax break deals as other states move to ban it



Corporations nationwide are increasingly asking public officials to sign non-disclosure agreements about potential developments that require tax breaks, a move that critics say undermines faith in open government. (Shutterstock)



January 6, 2022



Mike Wilkinson



Michigan Government

SHARE THIS:



Michigan Government

Michigan embraces silence in tax break deals as other states move to ban it



Donate

Before Michigan lawmakers rapidly considered and approved a \$1 billion fund for new business incentives in December, 13 key lawmakers agreed to stay silent about

the process — a decision that experts say is rare and troubling.

For the first time in its history, the state's development arm, the Michigan Economic Development Corp., asked top lawmakers from both parties to sign "confidentiality agreements" that would keep secret for five years any non-public details about four potential projects.

SPONSOR

The agreements were signed as the administration of Gov. Gretchen Whitmer and legislators were crafting massive new incentives designed to keep and lure companies to the state, including a 1,000-job, \$2.5 billion GM-affiliated battery plant just outside Lansing.

Related:

- **Michigan OKs electricity rate cut to attract automotive battery factories**
- **Why electricity rates may be next fight in Michigan's bid for auto jobs**
- **'This is it': Michigan nears giant tax incentive to lure GM, manufacturers**

Confidentiality agreements are common in economic development, sought by companies to preserve secrecy before a deal is finalized, experts said.

The agreements with lawmakers, however, are different.

"In this particular case, it was critical that a select number of lawmakers could see the pipeline of transformational projects the state of Michigan is competing for," MEDC spokesperson Otie McKinley told Bridge in an email. He said they could create 27,000 jobs and billions of dollars in investment in the state.

The decision came as lawmakers in states including Illinois, Florida and New York consider legislation to end non-disclosure agreements on state-backed economic development deals.

Critics in those states and Michigan say taxpayers should know how their money is spent and secrecy erodes confidence of the deals.

"These (confidentiality agreements) should be banned, not embraced," said Michael LaFaive, senior director of fiscal policy at the Midland-based Mackinac Center for Public Policy, a free-market think tank.

"Public dollars require public scrutiny."

House Speaker Jason Wentworth, R-Farwell, was one of the 13 who agreed to the confidentiality deal. His spokesperson said he cannot talk about his agreement but said it was required by the “governor’s team” to talk about the incentives needed to land the projects.

“If that’s what it takes to get a deal done and keep jobs in Michigan, the speaker was willing to do it,” Gideon D’Assandro said.

Other lawmakers to sign the agreements were: House Minority Leader Donna Lasinski, D-Scio Township, Senate Majority Leader Mike Shirkey, R-Clarklake, Senate Minority Leader Jim Ananich, D-Flint, Sen. Curtis Hertel, D-East Lansing, Sen. Ken Horn, R-Frankenmuth, Sen. Jim Stamas, R-Midland, Sen. John Bizon, R-Battle Creek, Rep. Joe Tate, D-Detroit, Rep. Thomas Albert, R-Lowell, Rep. Matt Hall, R-Marshall, Rep. Ben Frederick, R-Owosso, and Rep. James Haadsma, D-Battle Creek.

The Detroit Free Press was first to report the list of 13 lawmakers.

Big corporations increasingly require government officials to sign non-disclosure agreements before investing in communities.

Last year, when Fort Wayne, Ind., voted to approve \$16 million in tax incentives for a distribution center, non-disclosure agreements forbid half the City Council from knowing which corporation would benefit from the breaks. (It was Amazon.)

“The chief good of banning these NDAs is that the public gets to have a say in how public resources are used,” said Pat Garofalo, director of state and local policy at the American Economic Liberties Project, a Washington, D.C.-based progressive organization dedicated to reducing the power of corporations over public life.

The Michigan Legislature approved the incentive programs Dec. 14, just days after its details were crafted.

A week later, Whitmer signed the deal, which creates funds to pay for site selection and infrastructure, as well as targeting so-called “critical industries” such as high-tech manufacturing.

The deal came just days before the Lansing City Council approved plans to cut taxes for the proposed GM’s battery plant. The other three projects have yet to be disclosed.

Economic incentives have long been controversial, with proponents saying they help grow and attract businesses. Like most states, Michigan has offered them for decades.

But the deals have led to cut-throat competition among states and, at times, municipalities which all want to attract new businesses and the jobs and people who would likely follow.

For 20 years, C.J. Girod was a business consultant who helped companies get those incentives, working on billion-dollar deals across the country.

Now he helps states and municipalities craft incentive programs with his firm Responsible Economic Development Incentives, based in Pittsburgh. He said he opposes the use of non-disclosure agreements.

"It keeps everyone in the dark," Girod said, allowing companies to play one community off of another.

Besides, Girod said incentives aren't successful. Other factors are more prominent: proximity to transportation, markets, and available workforce. Yet companies sought the incentives, Girod said, because they knew they could get them.

"Incentives don't drive location decisions," Girod said.

Researchers at the W.E. Upjohn Institute for Employment Research in Kalamazoo concluded that between 2 percent and 25 percent of companies based their location decisions on incentives.

But with billions at stake, secrecy is not uncommon in government.

In Ohio, former Gov. Jim Kasich privatized the state's economic development efforts in 2011, creating the "Jobs Ohio" organization. Doing so made it exempt from the state's open records laws.

Jeremiah Gracia, economic development director for Grand Rapids, worked in Columbus, Ohio, on economic development. There, he said non-disclosure agreements were used but typically ended when companies began applying for permits or zoning — actions that could not avoid public scrutiny.

"They do have their place," he said, and are required by companies trying to make decisions without the prying eyes of competitors, for instance.

LaFaive said he isn't opposed to the measured use of the agreements.

But he said they should be rescinded long enough in advance to allow a review by economists, accountants and the public to weigh whether they are worth it before lawmakers offer up tax dollars.

He pointed to the Foxconn project in Wisconsin, announced in 2017, which was going to require \$4 billion in state incentives but deliver 13,000 jobs. Last year, Foxconn said it would create just 1,500 jobs. The state's investment has been reduced to \$80 million.

In Michigan, the state is still absorbing billions in tax credits issued during the Great Recession, as then-Gov. Jennifer Granholm sought to stanch the loss of jobs as the economy collapsed.

SPONSOR

The state still estimates it owes more than \$4 billion in tax credits granted in 2009 to GM, Ford and the former Chrysler Group LLC. But the details of the agreements are still not public, though GM has provided some details.

"The program was ineffective and very expensive," LaFaive said.

He said too often politicians are approving deals about which few know the details, and at the last minute. What they want, he said, is to look like they're doing something to improve the state and the economy.

"What the states are fighting over is job announcements, not jobs," he said.

— *Paula Gardner contributed*

Related Articles:

Judge dismisses GOP lawsuit over Michigan Gov. Whitmer's fundraising

January 6, 2022 | [Sergio Martínez-Beltrán](#) in [Michigan Government](#)

Battle brewing among Michigan Democrats over new political maps

January 4, 2022 | [Sergio Martínez-Beltrán](#) in [Michigan Government](#)

Michigan Black lawmakers to sue redistricting commission over new maps

January 3, 2022 | [Sergio Martínez-Beltrán](#) in [Michigan Government](#)



We're not just a news organization, we're also your neighbors

We've been there for you with daily Michigan COVID-19 news; reporting on the emergence of the virus, daily numbers with our tracker and dashboard, exploding unemployment, and we finally were able to report on mass vaccine distribution. We report because the news impacts all of us. Will you please donate and help us reach our goal of 15,000 members in 2021?



Bridge
MICHIGAN
CELEBRATING 10 YEARS



[Home](#)

[Popular](#)

[Topics](#)

[Special Reports](#)

[Archive](#)

[About](#)

[Subscribe](#)

[Contact](#)

©2022 Bridge Michigan. All rights reserved.



Website by Gravity Works

Recreational Marijuana Licenses
As of 09/30/2021 for Fiscal Year 2021 Distributions⁽¹⁾
October 2021

<u>Municipality Number</u>	<u>Municipality Name⁽²⁾</u>	<u>Municipality Type</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Number of Licenses⁽³⁾</u>
01-2010	Harrisville	City	Alcona County	1
		County	Alcona County Total	1
02-2010	Munising	City	Alger County	1
		County	Alger County Total	1
03-2010	Allegan	City	Allegan County	1
03-2015	Douglas	City	Allegan County	2
03-2020	Fennville	City	Allegan County	1
03-1200	Saugatuck	Township	Allegan County	1
		County	Allegan County Total	5
06-1030	Au Gres	Township	Arenac County	1
		County	Arenac County Total	1
08-1020	Baltimore	Township	Barry County	1
		County	Barry County Total	1
09-1010	Bangor	Township	Bay County	12
09-2020	Bay City	City	Bay County	10
09-1120	Pinconning	Township	Bay County	2
		County	Bay County Total	24
10-1020	Benzonia	Township	Benzie County	3
		County	Benzie County Total	3
11-2010	Benton Harbor	City	Berrien County	1
11-2030	Buchanan	City	Berrien County	5
11-2060	Niles	City	Berrien County	3
		County	Berrien County Total	9
12-2020	Coldwater	City	Branch County	6
12-3010	Quincy	Village	Branch County	2
		County	Branch County Total	8
13-2020	Battle Creek	City	Calhoun County	9
13-1100	Emmett	Township	Calhoun County	11
13-3040	Tekonsha	Village	Calhoun County	1
		County	Calhoun County Total	21
14-3010	Cassopolis	Village	Cass County	2
14-3020	Edwardsburg	Village	Cass County	2
		County	Cass County Total	4

Recreational Marijuana Licenses
As of 09/30/2021 for Fiscal Year 2021 Distributions⁽¹⁾
October 2021

<u>Municipality Number</u>	<u>Municipality Name⁽²⁾</u>	<u>Municipality Type</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Number of Licenses⁽³⁾</u>
16-2010	Cheboygan	City	Cheboygan County	3
		County	Cheboygan County Total	3
17-3010	De Tour	Village	Chippewa County	1
17-2010	Sault Ste Marie	City	Chippewa County	2
		County	Chippewa County Total	3
20-1020	Frederic	Township	Crawford County	2
		County	Crawford County Total	2
22-2010	Iron Mountain	City	Dickinson County	2
		County	Dickinson County Total	2
25-2005	Burton	City	Genesee County	8
25-2040	Flint	City	Genesee County	5
25-1140	Mount Morris	Township	Genesee County	3
25-1170	Thetford	Township	Genesee County	2
		County	Genesee County Total	18
30-3020	Camden	Village	Hillsdale County	2
30-2030	Reading	City	Hillsdale County	3
		County	Hillsdale County Total	5
31-2020	Houghton	City	Houghton County	3
		County	Houghton County Total	3
19-2020	East Lansing	City	Ingham County	3
19-2027	Lansing	City	Ingham County	16
		County	Ingham County Total	19
34-1050	Easton	Township	Ionia County	1
34-2020	Ionia	City	Ionia County	2
		County	Ionia County Total	3
35-1020	Au Sable	Township	Iosco County	1
21-1010	Baldwin	Township	Iosco County	2
		County	Iosco County Total	3
36-2020	Crystal Falls	City	Iron County	2
36-1060	Mastodon	Township	Iron County	1
		County	Iron County Total	3

Recreational Marijuana Licenses
As of 09/30/2021 for Fiscal Year 2021 Distributions⁽¹⁾
October 2021

<u>Municipality Number</u>	<u>Municipality Name⁽²⁾</u>	<u>Municipality Type</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Number of Licenses⁽³⁾</u>
37-2010	Mt Pleasant	City	Isabella County	1
37-1160	Wise	Township	Isabella County	1
		County	Isabella County Total	2
38-2010	Jackson	City	Jackson County	1
38-1070	Leoni	Township	Jackson County	8
38-1110	Parma	Township	Jackson County	1
38-1120	Pulaski	Township	Jackson County	1
		County	Jackson County Total	11
39-2020	Kalamazoo	City	Kalamazoo County	10
39-1070	Kalamazoo	Township	Kalamazoo County	4
39-2040	Portage	City	Kalamazoo County	5
		County	Kalamazoo County Total	19
40-3010	Kalkaska	Village	Kalkaska County	7
		County	Kalkaska County Total	7
41-2010	Cedar Springs	City	Kent County	1
41-2030	Grand Rapids	City	Kent County	12
41-2060	Lowell	City	Kent County	5
41-3040	Sand Lake	Village	Kent County	1
		County	Kent County Total	19
43-1110	Pleasant Plains	Township	Lake County	3
		County	Lake County Total	3
44-2020	Lapeer	City	Lapeer County	6
		County	Lapeer County Total	6
46-3010	Addison	Village	Lenawee County	2
46-2010	Adrian	City	Lenawee County	8
46-2030	Morenci	City	Lenawee County	5
		County	Lenawee County Total	15
50-2010	Centerline	City	Macomb County	4
50-2110	Utica	City	Macomb County	2
		County	Macomb County Total	6
51-2010	Manistee	City	Manistee County	5
		County	Manistee County Total	5

Recreational Marijuana Licenses
As of 09/30/2021 for Fiscal Year 2021 Distributions⁽¹⁾
October 2021

<u>Municipality Number</u>	<u>Municipality Name⁽²⁾</u>	<u>Municipality Type</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Number of Licenses⁽³⁾</u>
52-2020	Marquette	City	Marquette County	4
49-1070	Marquette	Township	Marquette County	1
52-1100	Negaunee	Township	Marquette County	2
52-1140	Sands	Township	Marquette County	2
		County	Marquette County Total	9
54-2010	Big Rapids	City	Mecosta County	12
		County	Mecosta County Total	12
58-2040	Petersburg	City	Monroe County	1
		County	Monroe County Total	1
59-1050	Crystal	Township	Montcalm County	1
59-3010	Edmore	Village	Montcalm County	2
		County	Montcalm County Total	3
61-2020	Muskegon	City	Muskegon County	8
61-1120	Muskegon	Township	Muskegon County	3
61-2030	Muskegon Heights	City	Muskegon County	1
		County	Muskegon County Total	12
62-2015	Grant	City	Newaygo County	1
62-2030	White Cloud	City	Newaygo County	2
		County	Newaygo County Total	3
63-2060	Ferndale	City	Oakland County	3
63-2070	Hazel Park	City	Oakland County	6
63-2110	Madison Heights	City	Oakland County	2
63-2240	Walled Lake	City	Oakland County	3
		County	Oakland County Total	14
67-2010	Evart	City	Osceola County	1
		County	Osceola County Total	1
68-1010	Big Creek	Township	Oscoda County	1
		County	Oscoda County Total	1
70-1040	Crockery	Township	Ottawa County	2
		County	Ottawa County Total	2
71-2010	Onaway	City	Presque Isle County	1
71-1140	Rogers	Township	Presque Isle County	1
		County	Presque Isle County Total	2

Recreational Marijuana Licenses
As of 09/30/2021 for Fiscal Year 2021 Distributions⁽¹⁾
October 2021

<u>Municipality Number</u>	<u>Municipality Name⁽²⁾</u>	<u>Municipality Type</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Number of Licenses⁽³⁾</u>
72-1030	Denton	Township	Roscommon County	2
		County	Roscommon County Total	2
73-3020	Chesaning	Village	Saginaw County	2
73-2020	Saginaw	City	Saginaw County	1
		County	Saginaw County Total	3
77-1060	Mueller	Township	Schoolcraft County	1
		County	Schoolcraft County Total	1
78-2030	Laingsburg	City	Shiawassee County	1
78-2040	Owosso	City	Shiawassee County	3
		County	Shiawassee County Total	4
75-3040	Constantine	Village	St. Joseph County	2
75-2010	Sturgis	City	St. Joseph County	1
		County	St. Joseph County Total	3
79-2010	Vassar	City	Tuscola County	3
79-1200	Vassar	Township	Tuscola County	2
		County	Tuscola County Total	5
80-1010	Almena	Township	Van Buren County	1
80-2010	Bangor	City	Van Buren County	2
80-3020	Breedsville	Village	Van Buren County	1
80-3030	Decatur	Village	Van Buren County	2
80-2030	Hartford	City	Van Buren County	2
80-3040	Lawrence	Village	Van Buren County	2
		County	Van Buren County Total	10
81-2010	Ann Arbor	City	Washtenaw County	25
81-1100	Northfield	Township	Washtenaw County	1
81-2040	Ypsilanti	City	Washtenaw County	6
		County	Washtenaw County Total	32
82-2140	Hamtramck	City	Wayne County	4
82-2170	Inkster	City	Wayne County	2
82-2230	River Rouge	City	Wayne County	7
82-2300	Wayne	City	Wayne County	4
		County	Wayne County Total	17
83-2010	Cadillac	City	Wexford County	2
		County	Wexford County Total	2
Number of Total Licenses				374

**Recreational Marijuana Licenses
As of 09/30/2021 for Fiscal Year 2021 Distributions⁽¹⁾
October 2021**

Municipality Number	Municipality Name⁽²⁾	Municipality Type	County	Number of Licenses⁽³⁾
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	---------------	---

Total by Municipality Type

<u>Municipality Type</u>	<u>Number of Municipalities</u>	<u>Number of Licenses</u>
City	62	262
Village	15	31
Township	33	81
Total	110	374
County	53	374

⁽¹⁾ Distributions are anticipated to be made in December 2021/January 2022.

⁽²⁾ Tribal Governments do not qualify for distributions under MCL 333.27964.

⁽³⁾ Number of Marijuana Retail Stores or Marijuana Microbusinesses located and licensed in the Municipality as of 9/30/2021. There were 374 Licenses as of 9/30/2021.