1 2 3 4		Minutes of the New Bern Historic Preservation Commission April 21, 2021 – 5:30 P.M.
5 6 7		e New Bern Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) held its regular meeting on Wednesday, oril 21, 2021, in the conference room of the Development Services Department, 303 First St.
8	<u>1.</u>	OPENING OF MEETING WITH ROLL CALL
9		Meeting called to order by Chair Cox at: 5:30 pm.
10		Members Present: Dr. Ruth Cox, Chair
11		Tripp Eure, Vice-Chair
12		George Brake
13		Peggy Broadway
14		Christian Evans
15		Joseph Klotz
16		Ellen Sheridan
17		Members Excused (E)/Absent (A): James Bisbee (E)
18		A quorum was present.
19		Staff Present: Matthew Schelly, AICP, City Planner, Historic Preservation Administrator
20		City Attorney Present: Jaimee Mosley
21		Others Present: Sarah Afflerbach, Charles Francis, Paul Deering, Samantha Cunningham,
22		Seth Miller
23		
24	<u>2.</u>	APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING(S)
25		Motion to waive reading of the minutes: Commissioner Evans; Second by Commissioner
26		Bisbee. The motion carried unanimously.
27		
28	<u>3.</u>	HEARINGS ON CERTIFICATES OF APPROPRIATENESS:
29		A. Hearings: Introduction, Swearing-In, Summary of Process
30		Chair Cox explained the HPC and the quasi-judicial hearing process and Staff Schelly swore
31		in five witnesses with the following oath:
32		"Do you swear to tell the truth to the best of your knowledge?"
33		Sarah Afflerbach, Charles Francis, Paul Deering, Samantha Cunningham, Seth Miller
34		responded in the affirmative.
35		Chair Cox continued with the summary of the hearing process.
36		
37		APPLICATIONS
38 39		Chair Cox called to begin the hearings for the applications for a Certificate of Appropriateness.
40		B. 311 Bern St. – to include the installation of new, wood window blinds and new, wood, 4-
41		foot-high fencing in the Primary AVC.
42		The applicant was not present.

43	MOTION by Commissioner Broadway to continue the application to the next HPC
44	Regular Meeting on May 19, 2021, at 5:30 pm, in the Development Services Conference
45	Room, due to the unavailability of the applicant.
46	Second by Commissioner Sheridan.
47	Chair Cox asked if anyone had any comments. None were heard.
48	Motion passed unanimously.
49	
50	C. 802 E. Front St. – to include a new 2½ story infill house.
51	
52	Staff Overview
53	Staff Schelly began a review of the application. As the Owner's Authorization was
54	presented, Commissioner Brake questioned if Scott Davidson is the owner. Sarah
55	Afflerbach responded that Scott Davidson is the owner, as stated on the Owner's
56	Authorization From, not River Station Development Corporation, as stated on the
57	application. Assistant City Attorney Mosely indicated that since the application includes
58	only a clerical error, the HPC could proceed with the hearing. Schelly proceeded with the
59	remaining portion of the application and internal review documents. The Zoning and
60	Inspections Form was reviewed. The existence of a staff recommendation was indicated.
61	inspections I offit was reviewed. The existence of a staff recommendation was indicated.
62	Conflict
63	Chair Cox asked if there are any conflicts for this case. None were heard.
64	Chair Cox asked it there are any commets for this ease. Trone were neard.
65	Completeness
66	Chair Cox asked the Commission if anyone had any issues with the completeness of the
67	application. There was no response.
68	application. There was no response.
69	Applicant Comment
70	Chair Cox asked Afflerbach, the authorized representative for the applicant, if they had any
71	additional comments. There was no response.
72	additional comments. There was no response.
73	Proponents' and Opponents' Comments, Others with Evidence
74	Chair Cox asked if there was anyone who is a notified proponent or opponent of the project.
75	
	There was no response. Chair Cay called if there was anyone with relevant evidence who has standing for this
76	Chair Cox asked if there was anyone with relevant evidence who has standing for this
77	project. There was no response.
78	Ctaff Daggaran and dation
79	Staff Recommendation
80	Staff Schelly submitted the description of the project and the following Historic District
81	Guidelines, Statements of Reason, and Recommendation as appropriate to this application:
82	Development Pattern
83	2.1.1
84	2.1.2
85	2.1.3
86	Design Principles

87	3.1.1
88	3.1.2
89	3.1.3
90	3.1.4
91	3.1.5
92	Infill Construction
93	3.4.1
94	3.4.2
95	3.4.3
96	3.4.4
97	Foundations 1.1.2
98	4.1.3
99	4.1.4
100	Walls, Trim and Ornamentation
101	4.2.4
102	Windows, Doors and Openings
103	4.3.3
104	Entrances
105	4.4.4
106	Roofs
107	4.5.4
108	Decks and Patios
109	4.6.2
110	<u>Masonry</u>
111	5.1.3
112	5.1.5
113	5.1.6
114	Metals
115	5.3.3
116	5.3.4
117	Paint
118	5.4.2
119	5.4.3
120	5.4.4
121	5.4.6
122	Statements of Reason, based on the information contained in the application, in Staff's
123	judgment are:
124	1. The project is located within the Primary, Secondary, and Tertiary AVCs;
125	2. The project is located within the Narrow Stitch development pattern;
126	3. The Zoning Administrator and the Chief Building Official have reviewed this
127	project and commented accordingly;
128	4. The project is not incongruous with the Guidelines.
129	Staff recommends the Commission approve this application for construction of a new 2½-
130	story infill house.
150	story mini nouse.

131	Evidence from City, County, or other Government Agencies
132	Chair Cox asked if there was anyone who is a City, County, other government person who
133	would like to present evidence. There was no response.
134	1 decided to the second to the
135	Applicant Comment
136	Chair Cox asked Afflerbach, the authorized representative for the applicant, if she had any
137	additional comments. Afflerbach indicated she had no additional comments.
138	The state of the s
139	Commissioners' Questions and Comments
140	Chair Cox asked the Commissioners if they had any questions or comments.
141	Commissioner Broadway expressed concern that the houses are being constructed without
142	any landscaping being approved. Afflerbach responded that she can bring a landscape plan
143	to the next meeting and the developer has been working on landscape plans. She added
144	that there is probably no room for trees on the lots, shrubs are planned, but in the right-of-
145	way there are some nice trees there and the developer can request more trees after the
146	construction is done. Broadway asked if there will be uniformity in the landscaping.
147	Afflerbach replied that the same person is doing all of the landscape plans, so they should
148	be uniform. Taller shrubs are planned for around the mechanical units.
149	Chair Cox asked for any other questions from the Commissioners. None were heard.
150	1
151	MOTION by Commissioner Klotz to find the application Not Incongruous with New
152	Bern's Code of Ordinance sections 15.411 – 15.429 and New Bern's Historic District
153	Guidelines based on the following specific guidelines and findings of fact:
154	Development Pattern
155	2.1.1
156	2.1.2
157	2.1.3
158	Design Principles
159	3.1.1
160	3.1.2
161	3.1.3
162	3.1.4
163	3.1.5
164	Infill Construction
165	3.4.1
166	3.4.2
167	3.4.3
168	3.4.4
169	Foundations
170	4.1.3
171	4.1.4
172	Walls, Trim and Ornamentation
173	4.2.4
174	Windows, Doors and Openings

Windows, Doors and Openings

175		4.3.3
176		Entrances
177		4.4.4 reset
178		Roofs and the second se
179		4.5.4 The second of the second
180		Decks and Patios
181		4.6.2
182		Masonry
183		
184		5.1.5
185		5.1.6
186		Metals
187		5.3.3
188		5.3.4
189		Paint 1
190		5.4.2
191		
192		5.4.4
193		
194		Findings of Fact:
195		1. The project is located within the Primary, Secondary, and Tertiary AVCs;
196		2. The project is located within the Narrow Stitch development pattern;
197		3. The Zoning Administrator and the Chief Building Official have reviewed this project
198		and commented accordingly;
199 200		4. The project is not incongruous with the Guidelines. Condition:
200		Page one of the application shall be changed to reflect the ownership of the property by
201		Scott Davidson.
202		Scott Davidson.
204		Commissioner Brake seconded the motion.
205		Motion passed unanimously.
206		passed didifficulty.
207		MOTION by Commissioner Evans to issue the CoA; Second by Commissioner Brake;
208		Motion passed unanimously.
209		The tree business and the same
210	D.	211 Johnson St. – amendment to approved CoA: to include the elevation of a portion of
211		the existing house in the Tertiary AVC.
212		Staff Schelly requested removing this from the agenda for the reason that it was improperly
213		listed as a hearing item.
214		Chair Cox clarified with the Assistant City Attorney that a motion to remove this from the
215		agenda was not required.
216		
217	<u>E.</u>	611 E. Front St. – to include a new, roofed, wood trellis in the Tertiary AVC.

218	Staff Overview
219	Staff Schelly provided a review of the application and internal review documents. The
220	Zoning and Inspections Form was reviewed, including a comment from the Zoning
221	Administrator that the setback for the structure is required to be 5 feet. Schelly added that
222	the applicants have revised the design to comply with this requirement. Also, a Building
223	Permit will be required. The existence of a staff recommendation was indicated.
224	- manufaction was indicated.
225	Conflict
226	Chair Cox asked if there are any conflicts for this case. None were heard.
227	chair con abled if there are any commets for this case. It one were heard.
228	Completeness
229	Chair Cox asked the Commission if anyone had any issues with the completeness of the
230	application. There was no response.
231	application. There was no response.
232	Applicant Comment
233	Chair Cox asked Afflerbach, the authorized representative for the applicant, if they had any
234	additional comments. Afflerbach indicated they had no additional comments.
235	additional comments. Afficioach mulcated they had no additional comments.
236	Dwononontal and Onnonontal Comments Others will Fridens City C
237	Proponents' and Opponents' Comments, Others with Evidence, City, County, or
238	other Government Agencies
	Chair Cox asked if there is anyone who is a proponent or opponent of the application, has
239	standing, relevant evidence, or is a City, County, other government person who would like
240	to present evidence. None spoke.
241	CA- CCD.
242	Staff Recommendation
243	Staff Schelly submitted the description of the project and the following Historic District
244	Guidelines, Statements of Reason, and Recommendation as appropriate to this application:
245	Accessory Structures
246	2.6.1
247	2.6.2
248	2.6.3
249	Additions
250	3.3.1
251	3.3.2
252	3.3.3
253	Walls, Trim and Ornamentation
254	4.2.1
255	Roofs
256	4.5.1
257	4.5.2
258	4.5.3
259	4.5.4
260	$\underline{\mathbf{Wood}}$
261	5.2.1

262	5.2.2
263	<u>Paint</u>
264	5.4.2
265	5.4.3
266	5.4.4
267	Contemporary Materials
268	5.5.6
269	Statements of Reason, based on the information contained in the application, in Staff's
270	judgment are:
271	1. The structure is a contributing resource in the <i>Tight Weave</i> development pattern;
272	2. The project is within the Tertiary AVC;
273	3. The proposed design, components, and materials meet the requirements of the
274	Guidelines;
275	4. The Zoning Administrator and the Chief Building Official have reviewed this project
276	and commented accordingly;
277	5. The project is not incongruous with the Guidelines.
278	Staff recommends the Commission approve this application to include a new, roofed,
279	wood trellis in the Tertiary AVC.
280	
281	Applicant's Comments
282	Chair Cox asked Afflerbach, the authorized representative for the applicant, if she had any
283	additional comments. Afflerbach said the rear addition was built by previous owners.
284	
285	Commissioners' Questions and Comments
286	Chair Cox asked the Commissioners if they had any questions or comments.
287	Commissioner Klotz asked how the roof will be pitched. Afflerbach answered that it will
288	pitch slightly toward the driveway. There was some additional discussion about this.
289	Chair Cox asked for any other questions from the Commissioners. None were heard.
290	
291	MOTION by Commissioner Brake to find the application Not Incongruous with New
292	Bern's Code of Ordinance sections 15.411 - 15.429 and New Bern's Historic District
293	Guidelines based on the following specific guidelines and findings of fact:
294	Accessory Structures
295	2.6.1
296	2.6.2
297	2.6.3
298	Afflerbach interrupted at this point. Clarification was made that the structure was not an
299	accessory structure but an addition.
300	The Motion was withdrawn and restarted.
301	
302	MOTION by Commissioner Brake to find the application Not Incongruous with New
303	Bern's Code of Ordinance sections 15.411 - 15.429 and New Bern's Historic District
304	Guidelines based on the following specific guidelines and findings of fact:
305	Additions

306	3.3.1
307	3.3.2
308	3.3.3
309	Walls, Trim and Ornamentation
310	4.2.1
311	Roofs
312	4.5.1
313	4.5.2
314	4.5.3
315	4.5.4
316	Wood
317	5.2.1
318	5.2.2
319	Paint
320	5.4.2
321	5.4.3
322	5.4.4
323	Contemporary Materials
324	5.5.6
325	Statements of Reason, based on the information contained in the application, in Staff's
326	judgment are:
327	1. The structure is a contributing resource in the <i>Tight Weave</i> development pattern;
328	2. The project is within the Tertiary AVC;
329	3. The proposed design, components, and materials meet the requirements of the
330	Guidelines;
331	4. The Zoning Administrator and the Chief Building Official have reviewed this project
332	and commented accordingly;
333	5. The project is not incongruous with the Guidelines.
334	
335	Commissioner Evans seconded the motion.
336	Motion passed: Unanimously
337	
338	MOTION by Commissioner Evans to issue the CoA; Second by Commissioner
339	Brake.
340	Motion passed: Unanimously
341	
	<u>F. 617 E. Front St.</u> – to include removing the existing stoop and roof, existing concrete patio,
343	and portion of the brick driveway; construct a new, screened porch, brick outdoor kitchen
344	with a fireplace, brick patio paving, and a wood, outdoor shower enclosure, all in the
345	Secondary and Tertiary AVCs.
346	
347	Staff Overview of the Application
348	Staff Schelly provided a review of the application and internal review documents. The
349	existence of a staff recommendation was indicated.

350	
351	Conflict
352	Chair Cox asked if there are any conflicts for this case. None were heard.
353	•
354	Completeness
355	Chair Cox asked the Commission if anyone had any issues with the completeness of the
356	application. There was no response.
357	
358	Applicant Comment
359	Chair Cox asked the applicants, who were present, if they had any additional comments.
360	They indicated they had no additional comments.
361	
362	Proponents' and Opponents' Comments, Others with Evidence, City, County, or
363	other Government Agencies
364	Chair Cox asked if there is anyone who is a proponent or opponent of the application, has
365	standing, relevant evidence, or is a City, County, other government person who would like
366	to present evidence. None spoke.
367	
368	Staff Recommendation
369	Staff Schelly submitted the description of the project and the following Historic District
370	Guidelines, Statements of Reason, and Recommendation as appropriate to this application:
371	Landscaping
372	2.4.7
373	Accessory Structures
374	2.6.1
375	2.6.3
376	Design Principles
377	3.1.1
378	3.1.2
379	3.1.4
380	3.1.5
381	Modifications
382	3.2.1
383	3.2.2
384	Additions
385	3.3.1
386	3.3.2
387	3.3.3
388	Foundations
389	4.1.1
390	4.1.2
391	4.1.3
392	4.1.4
393	Walls, Trim and Ornamentation

396	Windows, Doors and Openings
397	4.3.1
398	4.3.2
399	Entrances
400	4.4.1
401	4.4.4
402	4.4.5
403	Roofs
404	4.5.4
405	Masonry
406	5.1.1
407	5.1.2
408	5.1.3
409	$\underline{\mathbf{Wood}}$
410	5.2.1
411	5.2.2
412	<u>Metals</u>
413	5.3.2
414	<u>Paint</u>
415	5.4.2
416	5.4.3
417	5.4.4
418	Statements of Reason, based on the information contained in the application, in Staff's
419	judgment are:
420	1. The structure is a contributing resource in the <i>Tight Weave</i> development pattern;
421	2. The project is within the Tertiary and Secondary AVCs;
422	3. The proposed design, components, and materials meet the requirements of the
423	Guidelines;
424	4. The Zoning Administrator and the Chief Building Official have reviewed this project and

394

395

425 426

427 428

429

430

431 432 433

434 435

436

437

4.2.1

4.2.4

Commissioners' Questions and Comments

AVCs.

commented accordingly;

5. The project is not incongruous with the Guidelines.

Chair Cox asked the applicants where the **outdoor shower** is located. The shower is to be located to the east of the column for the carport, constructed as a 3-foot by 3-foot area enclosed with pressure-treated walls and opaque-stained or painted to match the lattice on the fence.

Staff recommends the Commission approve this application to include removing the

existing stoop and roof, existing concrete patio, and portion of the brick driveway;

construct a new, screened porch, brick outdoor kitchen with or without a fireplace, brick patio paving, and a wood, outdoor shower enclosure, all in the Secondary and Tertiary

Commissioner Broadway asked if the existing lattice fence on top of the brick wall needs to be repaired or replaced, would they be allowed to do that? Discussion resulted in Schelly explaining about repairs, replacements-in-kind, and other modifications.

Commissioner Evans asked about the brick fireplace. Schelly explained that while it was in the project previously, the latest plan now does not include it. However, Schelly did indicate this is why he included the drawings for the fireplace in the presentation of the application. Schelly explained that if the applicants keep the fireplace in the application and the application is approved, once any part of the project is started the CoA will not expire and the fireplace can be constructed as approved, even several years later, without a new CoA. Minor revisions to the fireplace design could be allowed later. The applicants then indicated they would like to put the fireplace back in the application under consideration. Discussion about the details of the fireplace ensued, including that the fireplace will be somewhat smaller than in the previous drawings. HPC concerns about the actual location and construction on the property line led to withdrawing the fireplace from consideration.

451 452 453

438 439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

Chair Cox asked the Commissioners if they had any questions or comments. None were heard.

454 455 456

457

458 459

461

462

467

468 469

470 471

473

474

MOTION by Commissioner Brake to find the application **Not Incongruous** with New Bern's Code of Ordinance sections 15.411 – 15.429 and New Bern's Historic District Guidelines based on the following specific guidelines and findings of fact:

Landscaping

2.4.7 460

Design Principles

3.1.1

463 3.1.2

464 3.1.4

3.1.5

465 466 **Modifications**

3.2.1

3.2.2

Additions

3.3.1

3.3.2

472 3.3.3

Foundations

4.1.1

475 4.1.2

4.1.3 476

477 4.1.4

478 Walls, Trim and Ornamentation

4.2.1 479

480 4.2.4

Windows, Doors and Openings 481

482	4.3.1
483	4.3.2
484	Entrances
485	4.4.1
486	4.4.4
487	4.4.5
488	Roofs
489	4.5.4
490	Masonry
491	5.1.1
492	5.1.2
493	5.1.3
494	Wood
495	5.2.1
496	5.2.2
497	Metals
498	5.3.2
499	Paint
500	5.4.2
501	5.4.3
502	5.4.4
503	Findings of Fact:
504	1. The structure is a contributing resource in the <i>Tight Weave</i> development pattern;
505	2. The project is within the Tertiary and Secondary AVCs;
506	3. The proposed design, components, and materials meet the requirements of the
507	Guidelines;
508	4. The Zoning Administrator and the Chief Building Official have reviewed this project and
509	commented accordingly;
510	5. The project is not incongruous with the Guidelines.
511	Conditions:
512	1. A rendering of the proposed shower be given to the HPC Administrator for approval
513	consistent with the discussion of the meeting.
514	2. Construction of a fireplace is not included in this motion.
515	Commissioner Evans seconded the motion.
516	Motion passed with Commissioner Klotz opposed.
517	
518	MOTION by Commissioner Sheridan to issue the CoA; Second by Commissioner Evans;
519	Motion passed with Commissioner Klotz opposed.
520	
521	G. 308 Metcalf St. – to include: in the Primary AVC, replacing front door with wood, 4-panel
522	door; replacing 4 vinyl windows with wood, double-hung, 6 over 6 windows; installing 3
523	pair of wood shutters with hardware; in the Primary and Secondary AVCs, installing
524	tongue and groove, wood, porch flooring; chamfering existing wood posts; replacing wood
525	foundation infill with solid or lattice-pattern brick and wood access door; in the Secondary

	and the second s
526	AVC, removing slat porch ceiling; removing metal door, relocating wood door and infilling
527	door opening with wood siding; and in the Tertiary AVC, replacing precast concrete steps,
528	metal handrail, and handicap ramp with wood steps and handrail; removing chain link
529	fence and picket fence; installing wood, 6-foot fence; removing a/c unit and infilling with
530	wood siding.
531	the program of the chi
532	Staff Overview of the Application
533	Staff Schelly provided a review of the application and internal review documents. The
534	existence of a staff recommendation was indicated.
535	
536	Conflict
537	Chair Cox indicated she had received a notification letter for this project and therefore
538	requests to be recused from this case.
539	Vice Chair Eure conducted the proceedings.
540	MOTION: Commissioner Klotz; Commissioner Evans Second; the motion carried
541	unanimously. Chair Cox stepped down.
542	Vice Chair Eure noted there are no people in attendance other than the applicant and Dr. Cox.
543	The original factor forces are no people in attendance outer than the approximation 21.
544	Applicant Comment
545	Vice Chair Eure asked the applicant, Michael Rogers, if he had any additional comments.
546	Rogers indicated he had none.
547	Rogers indicated he had none.
548	Proponents' and Opponents' Comments, Others with Evidence, City, County, or
549	other Government Agencies
550	Vice Chair Eure asked if Dr. Cox had any comments on this project. She had left the room.
551	There were no others present.
552	There were no others present.
553	Staff Recommendation
554	Staff Schelly submitted the description of the project and the following Historic District
555	Guidelines, Statements of Reason, and Recommendation as appropriate to this application:
556	Fences and Garden Walls
557	2.5.1
558	2.5.3
559	2.5.4
560	Design Principles
561	3.1.1
562	
563	Modifications
564	
565	3.2.2
566	3.2.4
567	<u>Foundations</u>
568	4.1.1
569	4.1.2

374	4.2.4
575	Windows, Doors and Openings
576	4.3.1
577	4.3.2
578	4.3.3
579	4.3.4
580	4.3.5
581	4.3.6
582	Entrances
583	4.4.1
584	4.4.2
585	4.4.4
586	4.4.5
587	Masonry
588	5.1.1
589	5.1.2
590	5.1.3
591	5.1.4
592	Wood
593	5.2.1
594	5.2.2
595	<u>Metals</u>
596	5.3.2
597	<u>Paint</u>
598	5.4.1
599	5.4.2
600	5.4.3
601	5.4.4
602	Contemporary Materials
603	5.5.4
604	Statements of Reason, based on the information contained in the application, in Staff's
605	judgment are:
606	1. The structure is a contributing resource in the <i>Tight Weave</i> development pattern;
607	2. The project is within the Primary, Secondary and Tertiary AVCs;
608	3. The proposed design, components, and materials meet the requirements of the
609	Guidelines;
610	4. The Zoning Administrator and the Chief Building Official have reviewed this project
611	and commented accordingly;
612	5. The project is not incongruous with the Guidelines.

570

571

572

573 574 4.1.3

4.1.4

4.2.4

Walls, Trim and Ornamentation 4.2.1

Staff recommends the Commission approve this application to include: in the Primary AVC, replacing front door with wood, 4-panel door; replacing 4 vinyl windows with wood, double-hung, 6 over 6 windows; installing 3 pair of wood shutters with hardware; in the Primary and Secondary AVCs, installing tongue and groove, wood, porch flooring; chamfering existing wood posts; replacing wood foundation infill with solid or lattice-pattern brick and wood access door; in the Secondary AVC, removing slat porch ceiling; removing metal door, relocating wood door and infilling door opening with wood siding; and in the Tertiary AVC, replacing precast concrete steps, metal handrail, and handicap ramp with wood steps and handrail; removing chain link fence and picket fence; installing wood, 6-foot fence; removing a/c unit and infilling with wood siding.

Applicant's Comments

Vice Chair Eure asked Rogers if he had any additional comments. Rogers indicated he had no additional comments.

Commissioners' Questions and Comments

Commissioner Evans asked about removing the chain link fence and replacing it with **new fencing**. Rogers answered, clarifying the scope of the work.

Commissioner Broadway asked if the two-story stairway would remain and if the lattice will be removed. Rogers replied that the stairway will remain and the lattice will be part of a separate CoA application for minor work.

MOTION by Commissioner Evans to find the application **Not Incongruous** with New Bern's Code of Ordinance sections 15.411 – 15.429 and New Bern's Historic District Guidelines based on the following specific guidelines and findings of fact:

Fences and Garden Walls

639 2.5.1

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622 623 624

625

626 627 628

629

630 631

632

633 634

635

636

637 638

640

648

649

2.5.3

641 2.5.4

642 **Design Principles**

643 3.1.1

644 3.1.2

645 **Modifications**

646 3.2.1

647 3.2.2

3.2.4

Foundations

650 4.1.1

651 4.1.2

652 4.1.3

653 4.1.4

Walls, Trim and Ornamentation

655 4.2.1

656 4.2.4

657	Windows, Doors and Openings
658	4.3.1
659	4.3.2
660	4.3.3
661	4.3.4
662	4.3.5
663	4.3.6
664	Entrances
665	4.4.1
666	4.4.2
667	4.4.4
668	4.4.5
669	Masonry
670	5.1.1
671	5.1.2
672	5.1.3
673	5.1.4
674	$\underline{\mathbf{Wood}}$
675	5.2.1
676	5.2.2
677	<u>Metals</u>
678	5.3.2
679	<u>Paint</u>
680	5.4.1
681	5.4.2
682	5.4.3
683	5.4.4
684	Contemporary Materials
685	5.5.4
686	Findings of Fact:
687	1. The structure is a contributing resource in the <i>Tight Weave</i> development pattern;
688	2. The project is within the Primary, Secondary and Tertiary AVCs;
689	3. The proposed design, components, and materials meet the requirements of the
690	Guidelines;
691	4. The Zoning Administrator and the Chief Building Official have reviewed this project
692	and commented accordingly;
693	5. The project is not incongruous with the Guidelines.
694	Commissioner Brake seconded the motion.
695	Vice Chair Eure asked if there was any further discussion. There was no response.
696	Motion passed unanimously.
697	
698	MOTION by Commissioner Evans to issue the CoA; Second by Commissioner Brake;
699	Motion passed unanimously.
700	

Reseating Recused Commissioners

MOTION by Commissioner Klotz to reseat Chair Cox; Second by Commissioner Evans. **Motion passed** unanimously. Chair Cox returned to the table.

4. OLD BUSINESS (non-hearing items tabled or continued from a previous meeting)

<u>A.</u> <u>Bear Town Market fence</u> – Schelly reported he has not yet contacted the owners, requesting them to come to an upcoming HPC meeting. Schelly will also consult with the City Attorney about this and what to do if the owners refuse to appear.

5. GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENTS

None

6. NEW BUSINESS

A. 221 E. Front St. – update of Demolition by Neglect (DBN) case

Schelly reported that on April 14, the Prevention of Demolition by Neglect Work Group (PDBN) toured the site along with Schelly and the new owner of the property with the intent to establish a list of work to be done to bring the house back into compliance with the ordinance. The resulting report was presented in detail. Vice Chair Eure added more information on the history and status of the case. Schelly was directed to clean up the list to remove repetitive items, send the list and a revised Schedule A (which was attached to the agreement of sale and includes a series of deadlines) to the new owner. A discussion about the DBN process ensued.

7. HPC ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT

A. Report on DBN Complaint filed: 104 Johnson St., filed 2/25/2021

Schelly reported that he met with Mr. French, the owner's relative and power-of-attorney, at the site and toured and photographed the site. Schelly created a handwritten version of a list of repairs to be made. French has moved into the next phase of the ReBuild NC program. That program will inspect the property with multiple inspectors over the next few months to determine what repairs need to be done.

Commissioner Broadway asked if this will affect the Guidelines. Schelly replied that the Guidelines would not be affected by this project.

B. Report on CoAs Issued 3/9/2021 – 4/13/2021

Chair Cox introduced the list in the agenda (included for reference here).

MAJORS:

- 211 Johnson St. constructing a screened extension to the rear screened porch, new fireplace and chimney, revisions to the existing one-story addition, revisions to the rear terrace, a terrace roof, a one-story addition, a pool, and fencing, all in the Secondary and Tertiary AVCs.
- 307 Pollock St. replacing a section of the storefront with a new, wood, glass, and floor tile entrance in the Primary AVC, plus demolish one-story concrete block addition, cut doorway into an existing masonry wall, and add new metal door in the Tertiary AVC.

MINORS:

1215 National Ave. ROW – tree replacement

745	1408 National Ave. – new metal fencing	
746	600 Edgerton Dr. – new landscaping, walkway, retaining wall	
747	611 New St. – new driveway	
748	323 Pollock St. – new sign, lighting	
749	508 Metcalf St. – new handrail	
750	607 Johnson St. – parking area enlargement	
751	301 S. Front St. – replacement sign	
752	207 Hancock St. – new parking area	
753	309 Middle St. – new sign	
754	C. Report on CoA Extensions Issued since the Prior Regular Meeting:	
755	818 E. Front St. – CoA Extension – private marina, 2nd extension to 3/20/2	2022
756	D. Other Items and Updates	
757	1. Resignation of James Woods – Schelly reported that James Woods	resigned and
758	expressed regret and appreciation. About 2 years remain in his term.	_
759	Best is working on a replacement. To maintain our CLG status, we	
760	vacancy within a certain amount of time.	
761	2. Approaching end of terms – the terms of Evans, Brake, and Klotz are	coming up in a
762	few months. Please contact the person who appointed you to request being	0 1
763	3. Preservation Awards – Chair Cox reported on progress and referred to	~
764	described the proposal. Cox has reviewed the proposal with the City At	
765	sent a copy to Alderman Bengel and would like to move it close	•
766	Commissioner Klotz recommended the HPC formally approve the pro-	*
767	can move forward.	
768	MOTION: Commissioner Klotz to approve the document; Commissioner	Evans Second.
769	Motion passed unanimously.	
770	Chair Cox indicated she and Schelly will work this forward.	
771	ř	
772	8. COMMISSIONERS' COMMENTS:	
773	Chair Cox asked if there were any more comments from the commissioners. No	one were heard.
774	· ·	
775	9. ADJOURN:	
776	Motion to adjourn the meeting: Commissioner Bisbee; Second by Comm	issioner Evans
777	Motion passed: Unanimously	
778	The meeting was adjourned at 8:15 pm.	
779		
780		
781	Minutes approved: August 18, 2021	
782		
783	Waste /	
784	Mr. COMM go	
785	Dr. Ruth Cox, Chair Matthew Schelly, City Planner	; Secretary
		•