1 2 3	NEW BERN BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MINUTES
4	March 30th, 2015
5	
6 7	The New Bern Board of Adjustment held a regular meeting on Monday, March 30, 2015 at 5:30
8	pm in the City Hall Courtroom, 2nd floor, 300 Pollock Street.
9	Members Present: Ms. Sarah Afflerbach – Chair
10	Ms. Beth Walker – Vice-Chair
11	Mr. Peter Adolph
12	Mr. Benjamin Beasley
13	Mr. Kenneth Brown
14	Mr. David Herndon
15	Ms. Renee Murphy
16	Mr. John Murrell
17	Mr. PJ Walker
18	
19	Members Excused: Mr. Jeffrey Midgette
20	
21	Members Absent: Mr. Alfred Barfield
22	Ms. Lois Jamison
23	
24	
25	Staff Present: Mr. Greg McCoy, Land & Community Development Administrator
26	Mr. Bradleigh Sceviour, Planner
27	
28	Chair Sarah Afflerbach called the meeting to order.
29	D-IIII
30	Roll call was taken and a quorum declared.
31 32	Minutes: Reading of the minutes from the previous meeting was waived by unanimous
33	by the provious mountaining was warved by unanimous
34	consent. Minutes from the February 23rd meeting were approved.
35	Witnesses were sworn in.
36	withesses were sworn in.
37	New Business:
8	Tien Business.
9	1. Consideration of Special Use Permit request to construct and operate a
0	shopping center on a 8.19 acre lot located at 2000, 2002, and 2004 South
1	Glenburnie Road.
2	STATIONALITY IXONA.
3	Staff Comments: Staff McCoy presented the request to the Board. The applicant is requesting a
4	Special Use Permit application to construct and operate a shopping center on a 8.19 acre lot

located at 2000, 2002, and 2004 South Glenburnie Road. The site is located in the C-4 Neighborhood Business and I-1 Light Industrial Districts of the Glenburnie Road Corridor. The site is further identified in Craven County Tax Book 8-208 as lots 092, 1-001, 1-014, 1-015, 1-016, 111, 143, and 7001. As depicted on the site plan, the shopping center will consist of a 49,098 square foot proposed grocery store on a 6.51 acre tract, which includes a separate 9,000 square foot building proposed for retail shops. In addition, as depicted on the site plan, a proposed outparcel on a 1.68 acre tract near the intersection of South Glenburnie and Yarmouth Roads will be part of the shopping center. According to Section 15-146 Use 2.210 of the Land Use Ordinance, a Special Use Permit is required for commercial developments located on a lot greater than two acres.

**Staff Recommendation:** The Departmental Site Plan Review Committee reviewed and approved the proposed project's site plans on January 30, 2015. Staff finds that the applicant has met all Land Use Ordinance requirements of Section 15-54 for a Special Use Permit and therefore recommends approval of this request.

Board Comments: None at this time.

 Applicant Comments: Brian Fagundas from ARK Consulting, the firm representative of the Applicant, explained that the proposed project is a redevelopment of several commercial uses that have been on this property. He stated that in addition to going before the Departmental Review Committee, they have also gone before the North Carolina Department of Transportation. He further stated that his traffic engineer, Jeff Hochanadel with Sepi Engineering and Construction will provide additional information regarding the impact of traffic. They prepared and submitted a traffic study with details of entrance and exit points.

At Chair Afflerbach's request he further explained the traffic study in more detail.

Public Comment: Chair Afflerbach opened the meeting for public comment.

Mr. Frank Kerr spoke in opposition to the project. His concern is with regard to traffic safety and functionality. He recommended widening the road at the Jiffy Lube.

Mr. Mike Duran - 4109 Yarmouth, stated that he thinks the intersection coming from Yarmouth is currently inadequate and would like to see it improved.

Larry Stanford - Knights Ct., Asked if the traffic study took into consideration children playing?

Charles Turnage - resident, asked how will 18 wheelers access the property?

Mark Macguire – Spoke on behalf of his mother and asked how this will affect the resale value and if a noise level study would be done.

90 No further public comments. Public comment period was closed.

**Board Discussion:** Applicant stated that the turn lane on Yarmouth will be widened. A traffic study was performed in conjunction with NCDOT. As a result of the study it was determined that the current access points are good.

A privacy fence and screen buffer will be installed to help mitigate some of the noise.

Trucks can enter from existing entrance on Yarmouth and will turn around behind the building.

Mr. Jeff Hochanadel explained the grading levels of the traffic study. Levels of service are graded from A-D as passing grades. E-F are failing grades. With the construction and development at Yarmouth and S. Glenburnie Roads, a grade of A was given for morning and a B grade by noon. The intersection of S. Glenburnie Road and Dr. MLK Jr. Blvd was given a D at peak hours.

Projected trips for the entire development area; Daily total – 8,910, AM Peak hours – 317 and PM Peak hours – 458.

108 Chair Afflerbach asked about turning radius. Mr. Hochanadel stated that the current turning radius is in compliance with NCDOT and the City requirements.

Mr. Herndon asked if anyone contacted NCDOT about the impact of traffic.

 Mr. McCoy stated that to the best of his knowledge no one contacted NCDOT and no one contacted his office regarding traffic or anything relating to this project. He also stated that the property was posted in two different locations and notices to adjacent property owners were mailed on March 13, 2015. Mr. McCoy stated NCDOT is part of the Departmental Review Committee (DRC) process and they were in attendance during the DRC meeting. He further stated that the proposed project is located on South Glenburnie Road which is a state road and any traffic projects that take place on that road must meet NCDOT requirements. Mr. McCoy further stated that the applicant worked with NCDOT and no permits will be issued until all of NCDOT requirements are met and satisfied.

Ms. Murphy asked Mr. Austin Williams of Crosland Southeast if there is a commitment from any particular tenant at this time. Mr. Williams stated he would let his client speak to that at a later time. Mr. Williams further stated that this project represents over a 17.5 million dollar investment in this property. It will be a very strong grocery store and currently there are confidentiality agreements. Significantly more information should be available within the next 30 days. Demolition should start in June and the project will be completed by March 2017.

Mr. Williams stated that on the out parcel, they do not believe it will be a fast food restaurant. It could potentially be a restaurant or bank. The market will determine what will be built there.

Chair Afflerbach asked about the 40' easement?

135 136	Mr. Fagundas stated that the existing access easement on South Glenburnie Road is for the existing businesses located in the rear of the property and it will remain in place.
137	existing businesses located in the real of the property and it will remain in place.
138 139	Mr. Brown asked if the site has sufficient onsite drainage?
140 141	Mr. Fagundas stated that the onsite retention pond meets state and city requirements.
142	Ms. Walker asked if the fence is a part of the screening process.
143 144 145	Mr. McCoy stated that the fence will be in addition to the required screening, which will be Type A screening. He further stated that it will be recommended as a condition.
146 147	There was a lengthy discussion and review of the site plans and the Special Use Permit Criteria.
148 149 150	Findings of fact:
151 152	1. The requested permit is within the Board of Adjustment's jurisdiction according to the table of permissible uses;
153 154	Motion B. Walker; Second R. Murphy
155 156	2. The application is complete;  Motion P.Adolph; Second K. Brown
157 158 159	3. The use will not materially endanger the public health or safety if located where proposed and developed according to the plan as submitted;
160 161	Motion K. Brown; Second P.Adolph
162	4. The use will not substantially reduce the value of adjoining or abutting property, or
163	that the use is a public necessity;
164	Motion P.J. Walker; Second B. Evans
165	
166 167	5. The location and character of the use, if developed according to the plan as submitted
168	and approved, will be in harmony with the area in which it is to be located and in general
169	conformity with the plan of development of the city;  Motion B. Walker; Second R. Murphy
170	Motion b. Walker; Second R. Murphy
171	6. If completed as proposed in the application and as presented tonight, the development will
172	comply with all the requirements of this ordinance.
173	Motion K. Brown; Second B. Beasley
174	
175	Motion: Mr. Peter Adolph made a motion to accept the following conditions as presented by
176	Development Services:
177	1. As noted in the City of New Bern 2009 Pedestrian Plan, provide sidewalks
178	adjacent to South Glenburnie Road. As indicated on the approved plans, add

sidewalks along the southern property line adjacent to Zaxby's.

2. As noted on the approved plans, add a privacy fence a minimum of six feet in height adjacent to the residential district along the western portion of the property.

Mr. PJ Walker seconded. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

**Motion:** Mr. Peter Adolph made a motion to issue the requested Special Use Permit. Mr. Kenneth Brown seconded. Motion passed by unanimous vote.

2. Consideration of a Special Use Permit request to construct a sixty unit elderly apartment development on the north side of Amhurst Boulevard near the intersection of South Glenburnie Road.

**Staff Comments:** Staff, Mr. McCoy presented the request to the Board. Scott A. Redinger, Inc. and John H. Wellons Foundation, Inc. have requested a Special Use Permit Application to construct a sixty unit elderly apartment development. The proposed multifamily building will be located in the C-5 Office and Institutional District on Amhurst Boulevard near the intersection of South Glenburnie Road. The site is a 3.42 acre portion of a 69.47 acre undeveloped lot next to the Neuse River Congregation of Jehovah's Witness. The site is further identified in Craven County Tax Book 8, Block 212, as Lot 010. At a future time the property owners plan to subdivide the lot and retain the remaining undeveloped 66.05 acres adjacent to Amhurst Boulevard for future development.

Mr. McCoy stated that this project came before the Board of Adjustment on March 25, 2013. At that time a Special Use Permit was approved and granted. Mr. McCoy stated that the permit is good for two years if no substantial construction takes place. The applicant proposes to resubmit an application and the current permit expired March 25, 2015.

The proposed project was reviewed and approved by the City's Departmental Site Plan Review Committee on February 13, 2015. When completed, the development will have 36-one bedroom apartments and 24-two bedroom apartments in one building located on a circular driveway adjacent to 76 parking spaces. In addition, the development will provide site amenities including on site management, a community room, a garden, and a recreational area. The development will have two access points, one adjacent to Amhurst Boulevard and the other adjacent to an unnamed (Sheryl Drive) sixty foot right-of-way west of the property.

**Staff Recommendation:** According to Section 15-146 of the Land Use Ordinance, developments that exceed two acres and multi-family residences with five or more units must obtain a Special Use Permit. Staff finds that the applicant has met all Land Use Ordinance requirements of Section 15-54 for a Special Use Permit and therefore recommends approval of this request.

Applicant Comments: Mr. Scott Reddinger, representative of the Wellons Foundation spoke regarding the low income affordable housing for seniors project. The foundation has already invested \$130,000 in the project. They have currently not received any funding, but they are

227 228 229	Mr. Reddinger stated that the application for funding is due in mid-May and the goal is to have construction begin in Spring of 2016 and units ready by Spring of 2017.
230 231	The plans submitted are preliminary plans. Final construction plans will have to be submitted at a later date.
232	
233	Public Comment: Chair Afflerbach opened the public comments period.
234 235	Ma Crade 901 Denfert Civil Co. 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
236	Ms. Grady, 801 Deerfoot Circle - Concerned about putting in 120 units in an already high
237	traffic area. She suggested a dedicated traffic signal to help control traffic.
238	Ms. Davis, Candlewood Lane - Expressed her concern regarding traffic coming off of Amhurst
239	Blvd.
240	
241	Mr. Lindsey, 2406 Elizabeth Ave - Spoke in favor. He stated that he works with the elderly
242	and only one half of them have cars, so he doesn't believe that traffic will be an issue.
243	
244	No further public comments. Chair Afflerbach closed the public comment period.
245	
246	Board Discussion: Mr. Reddinger was asked to clarify some of the public concerns. He stated
247	that they have not done a traffic study and do not have plans for a dedicated traffic light.
248	
249	The front of the building faces Sheryl Drive, therefore the main entrance will be on Sheryl Drive.
250	
251	Findings of fact:
252 253	1 The annual description of the state of the
254	1. The requested permit is within the Board of Adjustment's jurisdiction according to the
255	table of permissible uses;
256	Motion P.Adolph; Second K. Brown
257	2. The application is complete;
258	Motion K. Brown; Second P.Adolph
259	Addion R. Brown, Second 1. Addipn
260	3. The use will not materially endanger the public health or safety if located where
261	proposed and developed according to the plan as submitted;
262	Motion P.Adolph; Second P.J. Walker
263	
264	4. The use will not substantially reduce the value of adjoining or abutting property, or
265	that the use is a public necessity;
266	Motion B. Walker; Second B. Beasley
267	
268	5. The location and character of the use, if developed according to the plan as submitted
269	and approved, will be in harmony with the area in which it is to be located and in general
	Page 6 of 7
	LAVE DOLL

225 226

committed to the project.

270 conformity with the plan of development of the city; 271 Motion K. Brown; Second P.Adolph 272 6. If completed as proposed in the application and as presented tonight, the development 273 274 will comply with all the requirements of this ordinance. 275 Motion B. Walker; Second K. Brown 276 277 Motions passed by unanimous vote. 278 Motion: Mr. Kenneth Brown made a motion to accept the following conditions as presented by 279 280 Development Services: 281 282 1. As noted in the City of New Bern 2009 Pedestrian Plan, provide sidewalks adjacent to Amhurst Boulevard in addition to the sidewalks adjacent to the unnamed street 283 284 (Sheryl Drive) on the approved plans. 285 2. The applicant shall be required to submit a cash or performance bond in the amount of 286 287 120% of the incomplete sidewalk improvements. Mr. Barry Evans seconded. Motion passed by unanimous vote. 288 289 Motion: Mr. Peter Adolph made a motion to issue the requested Special Use Permit. Mr. 290 291 Kenneth Brown seconded. Motion passed by unanimous vote. 292 293 With no further discussion, meeting adjourned. The next regularly scheduled meeting is on 294 Monday, April 27, 2015 at 5:30 pm in the City Hall Courtroom, 2nd floor, 300 Pollock Street. 295 296 297 Soul Alfleral 298 299 Greg McCoy, Board Clerk