

The Council of the City of North Royalton caucused in the North Royalton City Hall Council Chambers, 14600 State Road on **December 20, 2022 at 7:00 p.m.**

PRESENT: Council: President of Council Paul Marnecheck, Council Representatives John Nickell, Linda Barath, Joanne Krejci, Jeremy Dietrich, Dawn Carbone-McDonald, Mike Wos, Legislative Services Director Dana Schroeder; **Administration:** Mayor Antoskiewicz, Law Director Thomas Kelly, Finance Director Jenny Esarey, Police Chief Keith Tarase

Agenda reviewed.

Ms. Schroeder stated that Ordinances 22-181, 22-190 and 22-197 will be amended this evening.

Ms. Esarey stated Section 1 of Ordinance 22-197 is being amended and the reason why it has been requested to be amended is she had talked to Ms. Schroeder and with the verbiage that is in there, it would be as if we were paying it out of the 2022 budget, but it's not part of the 2022 budget; it's part of the 2023 proposed appropriations budget. It is a little bit of verbiage added in there to ensure that it reads that this is part of 2023.

The Council of the City of North Royalton met in the North Royalton City Hall Council Chambers, 14600 State Road on **December 20, 2022 in regular session.** The meeting was called to order at **7:04 p.m.** by President of Council Paul Marnecheck and opened with the Pledge of Allegiance.

PRESENT: Council: President of Council Paul Marnecheck, Council Representatives John Nickell, Linda Barath, Joanne Krejci, Jeremy Dietrich, Dawn Carbone-McDonald, Mike Wos, Legislative Services Director Dana Schroeder; **Administration:** Mayor Antoskiewicz, Law Director Thomas Kelly, Finance Director Jenny Esarey, Police Chief Keith Tarase

Moved by Mr. Marnecheck, seconded by Ms. Barath **to approve the December 6, 2022 minutes.**
Roll Call: Yeas: **Seven** (Marnecheck, Nickell, Barath, Krejci, Dietrich, Carbone-McDonald, Wos).
Nays: **None. Motion carried.**

COMMUNICATIONS: None

MAYOR'S REPORT: No report.

DEPARTMENT HEAD REPORTS: No report.

PRESIDENT OF COUNCIL'S REPORT: No report.

COMMITTEE REPORTS:

All Boards and Commissions announced the dates and times of their next regularly scheduled meetings. All meetings are held at City Hall, 14600 State Road, unless otherwise noted. A complete meeting schedule is available from the Council Office. **Any changes to the regular schedule of Council or committee meetings are noted below.**

PUBLIC DISCUSSION

Mr. Marnecheck stated this is not a discussion; it's residents' opportunity to address Council, share their thoughts on legislative items. He encourages any resident who speaks or all residents to stay until the Miscellaneous portion of tonight's meeting as some of the members of Council may share their thoughts at that portion.

Barbara Porzio
6233 Bunker Road
RE: Ordinance 22-190

Ms. Porzio stated she has been a resident of North Royalton since 1985. At the last meeting of City Council, she stated she spoke briefly on the cameras that is presently before Council. Since that last Council meeting, she has done some research. She spoke with officers of different departments and spent most of her time talking to a Sergeant (Devlin) from the Cuyahoga County Sheriffs' Department. He is in charge of plate reading systems that are used county wide. She learned that Cuyahoga County has a plate reading system already in place and that all municipalities can participate in it for free. There are a series of grants that the Sergeant has obtained and administered that bought the cameras initially, however, some cities, including Parma bought the cameras and have the cameras administered by this County system for free. The Sergeant explained that each police department was notified of the grant in July of 2022. The notices were sent through the Police Chief Association and each department had an opportunity

to apply for free readers. In her opinion, the proposal to rent the Flock plate readers is flawed for 3 reasons: 1) the system is susceptible to abuse; as currently designed, there is no mechanism or provision of any kind for determining whether the system is being misused or abused; there is nothing in the contract that allows anyone to determine if the program is being used properly; that is in contract with the County system which has an audit to determine whether the system is being abused. Secondly, there is no provision for any consequence or negative effect if someone does misuse the system. Third and most importantly, is the cost. What Sergeant (Devlin) pointed out to her, after 3 years the Flock System would pay for the cameras. According to the contract, which she read from beginning to end, the proposal is for 10 cameras being rented for \$2,500.00 apiece. The cost of the total contract for 1 year is \$53,500.00. After that the contract costs \$25,000.00 a year. In 3 years, the cost would be \$78,000.00 and that would purchase the 10 cameras that are being proposed that can be purchased out right for that amount and administered for free by the County. She asked Sergeant (Devlin) if he was allowed to come and speak and explain the system. He said that he would have to get the permission of the Sheriff, but he would be willing to do that as soon assuming that he had the proper permission.

LEGISLATION

THIRD READING CONSIDERATION

ORDINANCE 22-181

Introduced by: Mayor Antoskiewicz. **AN ORDINANCE TO MAKE APPROPRIATIONS FOR CURRENT EXPENSES AND OTHER EXPENDITURES OF THE CITY OF NORTH ROYALTON, STATE OF OHIO, DURING THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2023.** Title read.

Moved by Mr. Marnecheck, seconded by Ms. Barath **to amend Ordinance 22-181 by replacing original Exhibit A with a new Exhibit A.** Roll Call: Yeas: **Seven** (Marnecheck, Nickell, Barath, Krejci, Dietrich, Carbone-McDonald, Vos). Nays: **None.** **Motion carried.** Moved by Mr. Marnecheck, seconded by Ms. Barath **to adopt Ordinance 22-181 as amended.** Roll Call: Yeas: **Seven** (Marnecheck, Nickell, Barath, Krejci, Dietrich, Carbone-McDonald, Vos). Nays: **None.** **Motion carried.**

SECOND READING CONSIDERATION

ORDINANCE 22-190

Introduced by: Vos, Dietrich, Carbone-McDonald. **AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH FLOCK SAFETY FOR FLOCK FALCON CAMERAS, INSTALLATION AND PROFESSIONAL SERVICES FOR THE NORTH ROYALTON POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$28,500.00, WITH AN ANNUAL PROFESSIONAL SERVICES COST OF \$25,000.00, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.** Title read.

Moved by Mr. Marnecheck, seconded by Ms. Krejci **to suspend the rules requiring three readings and referral to committee.** Roll Call: Yeas: **Six** (Marnecheck, Nickell, Barath, Krejci, Dietrich, Vos). Nays: **One** (Carbone-McDonald). **Motion carried.** Moved by Mr. Marnecheck, seconded by Ms. Krejci **to amend Ordinance 22-190 to remove Ms. Carbone-McDonald as sponsor and to add Mr. Nickell as sponsor.** Roll Call: Yeas: **Seven** (Marnecheck, Nickell, Barath, Krejci, Dietrich, Carbone-McDonald, Vos). Nays: **None.** **Motion carried.** Moved by Mr. Marnecheck, seconded by Ms. Krejci **to adopt Ordinance 22-190 as amended.**

Ms. Carbone-McDonald stated at the last Council meeting she stated how do cameras take precedence over the safety of our officers on the ground. When she found out the officers did not have tasers, that became a huge red flag for her. When she asked on the record why don't our officers have tasers, she was told they were too expensive or the officers do not want them. Yet, we are coming and putting cameras with all of this money on the agenda to be voted on and put through. She can't do it. She put a survey out there and had 279 residents speak against the Flock cameras; not including an additional 22 in the past 2 weeks. She stated you had over 6 months to educate the residents and yet, among all of us, only a handful of residents are here speaking and wanting the cameras. She just cannot see that we put this over tasers. Our officers need to be armed on the ground. She wrote so many things down that she wanted to talk about today because she comes from a law enforcement family, but if we don't arm our officers on the ground to make sure their safety is important and they can go home to their families at night. She does not understand how we make cameras more important than tasers. If you ask other cities, they all have them and they've had them. Why North Royalton doesn't, she does not know. But yet, we are putting cameras before all else and you are putting a price on our officers. That is why she is totally against this. She is not saying she is against cameras totally; she is saying let's do the right thing at all times and that's making sure, especially in today's age with our police officers, with the ground they have to cover and what they have to do. She totally understands it and she supports the cameras, but let's do the right thing and get these tasers for our officers before all else.

Mr. Nickell stated being on Council 13 years, he doesn't know everything, but usually when we have a contentious issue and people don't want something they show up. It's a fact. He stated you (audience) showed up, and did your homework. Other people have showed up probably by the request of somebody; but none the less, they showed up. Mr. Nickell stated his father wasn't a police officer but fought in World War II. His grandfather fought in World War I. His fourth great grandfather fought in the Civil War. His ninth great grand father fought in the Revolutionary War, but he doesn't know what that means. He (grandfather) wasn't a police officer, but he comes from a long line of liberty and democracy and rights. He stated Ms. Carbone-McDonald had a survey of 279 out of 1500 voting residents; that's good, she's doing her job. But that is nowhere near a majority, it's a minority. As far as he understands, the nation runs on a majority, even if it's by one vote. This has been on 1st reading a couple of weeks; we had it on the docket months before. He has not received more than 5 emails that were against it, a couple were for it. After we explained, Plain Jane, these are not speed cameras; repeat, in the Royalton Recorder, these are not speed cameras. These are cameras that just take license plates. Just think of the murders in Idaho; they had nothing and they are looking for video everywhere. If they might have had some cameras around this and that's what they are looking right now; they are trying to expand the search and the search for any video to give any clue. Was that white Hyundai a clue? Will it bring back those poor people murdered? No, but it may, in this case, find the killer and stop a future murder. Or just a simple crime or a grandmother getting broken into at 3 a.m. because that's when these people come to our city. We are an affluent city. They are coming out here to do crimes and then they usually leave to go back into the inner-city ring. Everyone should be concerned with our privacy, but we all have phones, we have Google; they all know you are here tonight. He thinks this will be a great added tool in the police officer's belt; just like a taser may be. Tasers are not right or wrong. He would be willing to wear a winter coat and be tased, because with a thick winter coat, the taser will not work. That is some of the downside to a taser, having a thick layer of clothing/coat that they will not adhere to the skin and they will not give the shock that we want. Yes, we are trying to prevent a death by gun. The police are hardly unprotected; they have guns. They also have other utilities and they are also trained in self-defense. The notion that because our guys (police officers) don't have tasers and other departments (cities) do; that they are not protected is not true. That is not a true statement. That is why he supports these cameras; it's a compromise that we are doing 10, see how it goes. Mr. Nickell stated to Ms. Porzio that she brought up some good points about accountability on all these systems and many systems. There are audits; they know who logs in to see a video. So, they will know if there was a question that Ms. Barath was trying to look up your plate; most security systems have a log and they can see (for example) Nickell J. logged into this system and knows what time. He stated that Ms. Porzio's made good points. For those reasons he supports this legislation.

Ms. Barath stated she is supporting this because right after we voted it down there were a number of instances in the area, including an Amber Alert that kind of made her think twice that maybe we aren't doing as good of a job monitoring what's going on in the city. Police, yes, she would like to see more Police, but the reality is, we are not going to hire them because there just aren't people out there to take the jobs. If you do not believe that, take a look at the bulletin board (City Hall lobby) and see how many people signed up for Civil Service. She thinks 10 cameras is a reasonable amount. She also wants to take a look at this program at the end of the year and see how it's been used. She would like an audit of what exactly has been done with the information; how we have used it and if it has helped us, as with the case of Middleburg Heights police officer who said it drives his work. She wants to actually see if it drives his work and if it does, then to her it is a good investment.

Ms. Krejci stated residents being here is nice when they want to come, but a lot of residents choose to call their Council people and talk to them/email them and have discussions that way. She stated just because people are not at the meetings doesn't mean, in any way, that they have not had conversations with their Council people; and that Council people haven't talked to their residents. We need to keep that in mind that there is a lot of conversations outside of this one meeting. She stated Ms. Carbone-McDonald keeps bringing up the subject of tasers and her opinion is if the Chief were to decide that he wants that as something before Council, she would whole heartedly consider it. But she doesn't believe we need to hold hostage one tool that they can use waiting for another. She thinks they (police officers) have many tools available in their tool belt and we can keep adding to it and that is why she will continue to support getting the Flock system, especially that we are doing it more as a trial. If we decide we do not like them; they don't work; we haven't gotten what we had hoped, then fine. If we find out that they are great and we have gotten way more than we had wanted, then that's something that we need to consider as well. So, that's why she supports them.

Mr. Dietrich stated he agrees with both colleagues that just spoke. He believes they took a lot of his thunder; he was going to say a lot of the same stuff. He also would like to thank Barbara Porzio for doing the extra work and finding additional information on these other programs. He wished we had known about them before to learn more about them. But he is sure the Chief knew about those programs, if they were out there and available. He stated to Ms. Porzio that she stated there was a grant program for the Police Association, so again he is sure it was presented and he is sure the Chief has a reason why he would like to go with this program over the other. Mr. Dietrich stated he

believes one of those reasons, personally, and just by other things the city has elected to borrow from vs own. For instance, Ms. Porzio mentioned 3 years at \$78k, the cameras would be ours, but then we have to maintain them and a number of other things. Same thing with our 911 system. We use Southwest Dispatch. We used to have our own dispatch here in North Royalton; he wasn't part of that when it was gone, but it's less expensive for us to partner up with multiple cities and use Southwest Dispatch and it's more efficient than it is to have our own. He feels the same with these cameras; he feels that we need to see how this works (agreeing with Ms. Barath's and Ms. Krejci's statements). It was voted down before; this isn't like, yes, we are not going to 3rd reading this time around, but this has already been once around. This has been months in the making; we have done a ton of research on our end as well. Our Chief has done a ton of research on his end. We've had other cities here that use the Flock system; like Middleburg Heights, we had a Deputy Chief explain how many crimes it helped prevent and solve. Mr. Dietrich also has family that is in law enforcement and the 1st thing they check is your I.D. and what are they checking for? Do you have any warrants out for your arrest? If that license plate is scanned, it's going to know if there is a warrant for that person's arrest that owns that vehicle. So many times, you will see a crime being committed and that person already has a warrant for a crime done previously; it's a repeating pattern, they are criminals. It happens repeatedly. For instance, that could help prevent; if you pick up someone that is already out there with a warrant or as Councilwoman Barath pointed out, if there is an Amber Alert and that car that's been put out there gets anywhere near our camera, we are going to know that they are there. We had an assault on our officer and it ended up going to court with the him going to jail for attempted murder of a police officer; maybe that could have helped prevent that. He is for these cameras as he stated before. He believes Council and the Administration has been very thorough and diligent in researching. We are going to see how it goes, as stated by Ms. Barath. We are doing less cameras and ultimately, we will be monitoring this as a Council. We are not married to these things; we can cancel and we can give them back.

Mr. Wos stated last time this thing went around, one of the things he had mentioned was a reduced number. He didn't feel comfortable with the original amount; he thought that was a lot to jump in at 1st initially, mainly because it was an unknown. We didn't have a system like that. He works in technology; he knows a little bit about software deployment and production. He said generally you have a pilot; when you release something you go to a smaller audience, you try it out. He has approached this more as a technology angle. He appreciates the fact that the Chief came back with a lower number and Councilwoman Barath's point; as Chair of the Safety Committee, he will ask that the Police Department provides us stats on a regular basis; every month. We get a crime report, Mr. Wos is going to want to know exactly what these cameras are doing; if they are catching people. He stated he is always an open book here, so we can pull that in and look at it. Also, he is going to want to know procedures; who specifically has access. As he understands it, it's going to be 2 detectives that are going to be able to go into the portal and look it up. But he is going to want to know these things because we want to make sure that we are being responsible and following all of the rules. He would like to see results on a monthly basis included with our crime reports, because if we are going to put in an investment into something, we should see that we are getting our monies worth out of it. He also appreciates that Ms. Porzio came with the extra information about the County system and the cameras. He does know, initially when this all came up earlier, he has a friend who is in the FBI and he spoke with him. There are multiple systems that are available and they all offer different features. He also knows that some times government agencies, the technology that they offer isn't always necessarily the greatest. If we own our cameras, you have things go bad and then you are on the hook. This kind of thing happens with computer technology and a lot of goes to a model where you lease it because then they can keep pushing upgrades. His understanding with the Flock system is that the software is upgradable in terms of features and things at no additional expense that they can roll out software wise. He suspects that probably part of it, there may be some inadequacy in some of those systems. He does know and has done his homework and talked with some people earlier and every system kind of has its pros and cons. He suspects that may be part of why we don't want to go with the countywide type thing. His point is that we need to keep an eye on this and make it to where it is not being abused. If people think it's going to be abused, he doesn't believe it will be and he trusts our Police Department, but as long as he is head of Safety Committee, he will try and be as transparent as he can to people as possible. That's his responsibility and commitment.

Ms. Carbone-McDonald stated the question was why do the cameras take precedence over the tasers. That's what she does not understand. She does her due diligence with everything and has reached out and talked with everybody; it's not like she is holding anything hostage or anything like that, making a decision. It is about the people and the people have spoken. They contacted her and she did her survey. It's about listening to the people that elected her in and doing the right thing and making the decision. When we make a decision, it should be the safety on the ground 1st before all else. That is why she could not understand why this takes precedence over tasers.

Roll Call: Yeas: **Six** (Marnecheck, Nickell, Barath, Krejci, Dietrich, Vos). Nays: **One** (Carbone-McDonald). **Motion carried.**

FIRST READING CONSIDERATION

ORDINANCE 22-194

Introduced by: Mayor Antoskiewicz. **AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE 21-181 ESTABLISHING VARIOUS BENEFITS FOR REGULAR PART TIME EMPLOYEES OF THE CITY OF NORTH ROYALTON, SECTIONS 6, 8 AND 9, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.** Title read.

Moved by Mr. Marnecheck, seconded by Ms. Barath to **suspend the rules requiring three readings and referral to committee.** Roll Call: Yeas: **Seven** (Marnecheck, Nickell, Barath, Krejci, Dietrich, Carbone-McDonald, Vos). Nays: **None. Motion carried.** Moved by Mr. Marnecheck, seconded by Ms. Barath to **adopt Ordinance 22-194.** Roll Call: Yeas: **Seven** (Marnecheck, Nickell, Barath, Krejci, Dietrich, Carbone-McDonald, Vos). Nays: **None. Motion carried.**

ORDINANCE 22-195

Introduced by: Mayor Antoskiewicz. **AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ENTER INTO A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE CUYAHOGA SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT AND THE CITY OF NORTH ROYALTON FOR NPDES STORM WATER PERMIT MINIMUM CONTROL MEASURES 4 AND 5, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.** Title read.

Moved by Mr. Marnecheck, seconded by Ms. Barath to **suspend the rules requiring three readings and referral to committee.** Roll Call: Yeas: **Seven** (Marnecheck, Nickell, Barath, Krejci, Dietrich, Carbone-McDonald, Vos). Nays: **None. Motion carried.** Moved by Mr. Marnecheck, seconded by Ms. Barath to **adopt Ordinance 22-195.** Roll Call: Yeas: **Seven** (Marnecheck, Nickell, Barath, Krejci, Dietrich, Carbone-McDonald, Vos). Nays: **None. Motion carried.**

ORDINANCE 22-196

Introduced by: Mayor Antoskiewicz. **AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE ORIGINAL APPROPRIATION ORDINANCE 21-184 AS AMENDED BY ORDINANCES 22-21, 22-34, 22-53, 22-74, 22-88, 22-97, 22-119, 22-145, 22-173, 22-180 AND 22-188 FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2022 BY TRANSFERRING APPROPRIATIONS AND MAKING ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.** Title read.

Moved by Mr. Marnecheck, seconded by Ms. Carbone-McDonald to **suspend the rules requiring three readings and referral to committee.** Roll Call: Yeas: **Seven** (Marnecheck, Nickell, Barath, Krejci, Dietrich, Carbone-McDonald, Vos). Nays: **None. Motion carried.** Moved by Mr. Marnecheck, seconded by Ms. Barath to **adopt Ordinance 22-196.** Roll Call: Yeas: **Seven** (Marnecheck, Nickell, Barath, Krejci, Dietrich, Carbone-McDonald, Vos). Nays: **None. Motion carried.**

RESOLUTION 22-197

Introduced by: Mayor Antoskiewicz. **A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ENTER INTO THE FINAL CONTRACT WITH THE OHIO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR A 10-FOOT-WIDE PATH ALONG THE WEST SIDE OF BENNETT ROAD (C.R. 109) BETWEEN VALLEY PARKWAY AND SOUTH AKINS ROAD, INCLUDING CURB, DRIVEWAYS, CURB RAMPS, DRAINAGE, AND PAVEMENT MARKINGS, LYING WITHIN THE CITY OF NORTH ROYALTON, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.** Title read.

Moved by Mr. Marnecheck, seconded by Ms. Carbone-McDonald to **suspend the rules requiring three readings and referral to committee.** Roll Call: Yeas: **Seven** (Marnecheck, Nickell, Barath, Krejci, Dietrich, Carbone-McDonald, Vos). Nays: **None. Motion carried.** Moved by Mr. Marnecheck, seconded by Ms. Barath to **amend Resolution 22-197 to add a New Section 1 stating the following: The estimated sum, of One Hundred Ninety Thousand One Hundred and - - - - 00/100 Dollars, (\$190,100.00) is included in the Proposed 2023 Permanent Appropriations Budget for the improvement described above and the fiscal officer is hereby authorized and directed to issue an order on the treasurer for said sum upon the requisition of the Director of Transportation to pay the cost and expense of said improvement in calendar year 2023 (contingent on passage of 2023 Permanent Appropriations Budget). We hereby agree to assume in the first instance, the share of the cost and expense over and above the amount to be paid from Federal funds.** Roll Call: Yeas: **Seven** (Marnecheck, Nickell, Barath, Krejci, Dietrich, Carbone-McDonald, Vos). Nays: **None. Motion carried.** Moved by Mr. Marnecheck, seconded by Ms. Carbone-McDonald to **adopt Ordinance 22-197 as amended.**

Roll Call: Yeas: **Seven** (Marnecheck, Nickell, Barath, Krejci, Dietrich, Carbone-McDonald, Vos). Nays: **None. Motion carried.**

ORDINANCE 22-198

Introduced by: Mayor Antoskiewicz. **AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH STAR2STAR COMMUNICATIONS FOR SOFTWARE AND APPLICATIONS, VOICE AND DATA AND MAINTENANCE FOR THE CITY WIDE INTEGRATED PHONE SYSTEM FOR A MONTHLY SERVICE CHARGE IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$3,000.00 FOR AN ADDITIONAL 3 YEARS, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.** Title read.

Moved by Mr. Marnecheck, seconded by Ms. Barath to **suspend the rules requiring three readings and referral to committee.** Roll Call: Yeas: **Seven** (Marnecheck, Nickell, Barath, Krejci, Dietrich, Carbone-McDonald, Vos). Nays: **None. Motion carried.** Moved by Mr. Marnecheck, seconded by Ms. Barath to **adopt Ordinance 22-198.** Roll Call: Yeas: **Seven** (Marnecheck, Nickell, Barath, Krejci, Dietrich, Carbone-McDonald, Vos). Nays: **None. Motion carried.**

ORDINANCE 22-199

Introduced by: Mayor Antoskiewicz. **AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH CT CONSULTANTS, INC., AS CONSULTING ENGINEER TO PROVIDE ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR THE CITY OF NORTH ROYALTON AND TO APPROVE THE REAPPOINTMENT OF JUSTIN HASELTON AS CITY ENGINEER, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.** Title read.

Moved by Mr. Marnecheck, seconded by Ms. Barath to **suspend the rules requiring three readings and referral to committee.** Roll Call: Yeas: **Seven** (Marnecheck, Nickell, Barath, Krejci, Dietrich, Carbone-McDonald, Vos). Nays: **None. Motion carried.** Moved by Mr. Marnecheck, seconded by Ms. Barath to **adopt Ordinance 22-199.** Roll Call: Yeas: **Seven** (Marnecheck, Nickell, Barath, Krejci, Dietrich, Carbone-McDonald, Vos). Nays: **None. Motion carried.**

ORDINANCE 22-200

Introduced by: Mayor Antoskiewicz. **AN ORDINANCE ACCEPTING THE BID OF LEACH EXCAVATING FOR THE VALLEY LANE FORCE MAIN RELOCATION PROJECT FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$67,340.00 AS THE LOWEST AND BEST BID, AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY.** Title read.

Moved by Mr. Marnecheck, seconded by Mr. Dietrich to **suspend the rules requiring three readings and referral to committee.** Roll Call: Yeas: **Seven** (Marnecheck, Nickell, Barath, Krejci, Dietrich, Carbone-McDonald, Vos). Nays: **None. Motion carried.** Moved by Mr. Marnecheck, seconded by Ms. Barath to **adopt Ordinance 22-200.** Roll Call: Yeas: **Seven** (Marnecheck, Nickell, Barath, Krejci, Dietrich, Carbone-McDonald, Vos). Nays: **None. Motion carried.**

ADJOURNMENT

Moved by Mr. Marnecheck, seconded by Mr. Dietrich to **adjourn the December 20, 2022 City Council meeting.** Roll Call: Yeas: **Seven** (Marnecheck, Nickell, Barath, Krejci, Dietrich, Carbone-McDonald, Vos). Nays: **None. Motion carried.**

Meeting adjourned at 7:43 p.m.

APPROVED: /s/ Paul F. Marnecheck DATE APPROVED: January 17, 2023
PRESIDENT OF COUNCIL

ATTEST: /s/ Dana A. Schroeder
DIRECTOR OF LEGISLATIVE SERVICES