



MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
REAL ESTATE DIVISION

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT RESOLUTION
FOR PURCHASE OF DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS (PDR) APPLICATION**

APPLICATION DEADLINE JUNE 30, 1998

INSTRUCTIONS: The local governing body (county, township, city or village), that has zoning authority over the nominated property completes **only one resolution per application**. If there is no zoning on the property, the county where the nominated property is located completes the resolution.

At a regular meeting of the local governing body for the nominated property of PINCONNING ~~LARRY AUGUSTYNIAK~~ Township, BAY County, Michigan, held at PINCONNING, MI on the 9 Day of JUNE, 1998, at 7 o'clock P.M., Eastern Standard Time.

PRESENT: M. TRUDELL, S. STALSBERG, MARY KUSTERER, D. MOORE
ABSENT: S. CARRUTHERS

The following Resolution was offered by MARY KUSTERER and seconded by MICHELE TRUDELL.

WHEREAS: On JUNE 9, 1998 the local governing body received a request from LARRY AUGUSTYNIAK for support of their application to the State for purchase of the development rights on the nominated property under Part 361, Farmland and Open Space Preservation, of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, Act 451 of 1994, as amended;

WHEREAS: The local governing body has reviewed this request for support and determined that the nomination of the property for development rights purchase is compatible with the long-range goals of the local government area regarding farmland preservation, and

WHEREAS: The local governing body finds the request for the PDR acceptable:

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE _____ COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS or PINCONNING TOWNSHIP BOARD OF TRUSTEES or _____ CITY COUNCIL or _____ VILLAGE COUNCIL AS FOLLOWS:

COPY

- (1) That the local governing body hereby certifies that the nominated property is currently zoned for agricultural use and not zoned for commercial or industrial uses.
- (2) That the local governing body hereby supports the request for nomination of the property for development rights purchase.
- (3) That the local governing body hereby certifies that the development rights purchase of the nominated property is compatible with the long-range farmland preservation goals of the local government area.
- (4) That the TWP. Clerk is hereby directed to transmit certified and sealed copies of this resolution to the applicant and the farmland preservation office.

ADOPTED: YEAS: 4 1 ABSENT
NAYS: 0

STATE OF MICHIGAN)

)§.

COUNTY OF BAY

I, the undersigned, the duly qualified and acting TOWNSHIP Clerk of the PINCONNING Township, BAY County, Michigan, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of certain proceedings taken by the local governing body of said PINCONNING Township or _____ County at a regular meeting held on JUNE 9, 1998 day of JUNE, 1998.

Donald H Moore, Clerk

NOTE: If application is not supported, please give explanation for rejection below or attach a separate sheet. If explanation is provided on Page 2 of Part 3 - Local Government Information that is sufficient.

COPY

**PART 3 - LOCAL GOVERNMENT INFORMATION**
FOR PURCHASE OF DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS (PDR) APPLICATION

This information is required by authority of Part 361 of Act 451 of 1994, as amended for farmland development rights to be considered for purchase by the State of Michigan.

APPLICATION DEADLINE: JUNE 30, 1998

The local governing body (county, township, city or village) that zones the nominated property must complete Part 3. If there is no zoning on the property, the County where the property is located must complete Part 3. The County may obtain assistance from a township official.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL: *Please clearly print or type all information.*

The local governing body has a master plan that was written or revised within the last five years. <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes If yes, list date of Master Plan <u>1996</u>											
The nominated property is located within an area the master plan has designated for future agricultural use. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes											
The local governing body: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> has agricultural zoning where agriculture is the predominate use in effect on and adjacent to the nominated property. <input type="checkbox"/> does not have agricultural zoning <i>or</i> the nominated property is not in the agriculturally zoned area.											
Minimum lot size in the agricultural zoning district <u>40 ac</u> <input type="checkbox"/> There is no agricultural zoning district.											
Maximum number of lots permitted for a 40 acre parcel of land zoned in the agricultural zoning district, excluding Planned Unit Development or Cluster Development options? <i>This information is used to determine the density of development allowed by the local governing body in agricultural zoning districts.</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 or less <input type="checkbox"/> more than 2 <input type="checkbox"/> no zoning on the property											
Current zoning classification for the nominated property. (Check only one box) <table border="0"><tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> Agricultural</td><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rural Residential</td><td><input type="checkbox"/> Other</td></tr><tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> Residential</td><td><input type="checkbox"/> Office</td><td><input type="checkbox"/> There is no zoning on the property</td></tr><tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> Commercial</td><td><input type="checkbox"/> Industrial</td><td></td></tr></table>			<input type="checkbox"/> Agricultural	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rural Residential	<input type="checkbox"/> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Residential	<input type="checkbox"/> Office	<input type="checkbox"/> There is no zoning on the property	<input type="checkbox"/> Commercial	<input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	
<input type="checkbox"/> Agricultural	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rural Residential	<input type="checkbox"/> Other									
<input type="checkbox"/> Residential	<input type="checkbox"/> Office	<input type="checkbox"/> There is no zoning on the property									
<input type="checkbox"/> Commercial	<input type="checkbox"/> Industrial										
The local governing body's ordinance offers the following option(s). (Check all that apply) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Planned Unit Development (PUD) <input type="checkbox"/> Cluster Development											
If the ordinance offers a PUD or Cluster Development option, have they been used? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If yes, please explain.											
The local governing body has a purchase of development rights or transfer of development rights program to protect farmland. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No											
Linear distance between the nominated property and the closest public sanitary sewer and / or water line. (Check one box only) <table border="0"><tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Less than 1/2 mile</td><td><input type="checkbox"/> 1/2 mile or more, but less than 2 miles away</td></tr><tr><td><input type="checkbox"/> 2 miles or more, but less than 5 miles away</td><td><input type="checkbox"/> 5 miles or more away</td></tr></table>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Less than 1/2 mile	<input type="checkbox"/> 1/2 mile or more, but less than 2 miles away	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 miles or more, but less than 5 miles away	<input type="checkbox"/> 5 miles or more away					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Less than 1/2 mile	<input type="checkbox"/> 1/2 mile or more, but less than 2 miles away										
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 miles or more, but less than 5 miles away	<input type="checkbox"/> 5 miles or more away										

The proximity of the nominated property to private land that is permanently protected from development.

Examples: conservation easement with a private conservancy that permanently restricts development or property that had the development rights previously purchased by the State of Michigan. This does not include land enrolled in a PA 116, Farmland Development Rights Agreement, utility or power line easements, school or church property.

(Check one box only)

☐ Directly adjacent

Method of Protection: _____

☐ Not adjacent, but within ½ mile

Method of Protection: _____

☒ Not adjacent and not within ½ mile

The proximity of the nominated property to public land specifically designated for long-term natural resources use or conservation purposes and protected from development. Examples: state park land, state game areas, federal forest land or township parks or any other public land protected from development. This does not include privately owned land enrolled in PA 116 or PDR easements, schools, institution or administration buildings.

(Check one box only)

☐ Directly adjacent

Type of Public Ownership: _____

☐ Not adjacent, but within ½ mile

Type of Public Ownership: _____

☒ Not adjacent and not within ½ mile

More than 50% of land within a ½ mile area around the nominated property is enrolled in a Farmland Development Rights Agreement (PA 116).

☒ Yes

☐ No

The nominated property is located in or adjacent to an area approved or proposed for future annexation.

☒ Yes

☐ No

SEV of the nominated property: \$ 115,000.00 This value includes existing building(s): ☒ Yes ☐ No

If the landowner has excluded existing structures and future home sites when nominating property, the SEV should reflect these areas in the value figure.

A resolution is attached indicating local governing body support or rejection of this application. Unsupported applications or those submitted without a resolution cannot be considered. If this application is not supported, please explain the reason for rejection.

☒ Yes ☐ No

CERTIFICATION

I certify that the statements made above are a true and accurate representation of the facts regarding the nominated property.

DONALD H. MOORE
Printed Name

PINCONNING TWP. CLERK
Title

Donald H Moore
Signature of Local Government Official

JUNE 9, 1998
Date

COPY