



**TOWN COUNCIL
WATERTOWN, CONNECTICUT**

**MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 2023
REGULAR MEETING – 7:00 P.M.**

**MINUTES
WATERTOWN TOWN HALL
TOWN COUNCIL CHAMBERS
61 ECHO LAKE RD.
WATERTOWN, CT 06795**

1. Call Meeting to Order.
Chairman Jonathan Ramsay called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.
2. Pledge of Allegiance.
Chairman Jonathan Ramsay led the pledge of allegiance.
3. Roll Call.

PRESENT: Jonathan Ramsay, Chair
Mary Ann Rosa, Vice Chair
Ken Demirs
Robert Desena
Anthony DiBona (phone)
Gary Lafferty
Robert Retallick
Denise Russ
Rachael Ryan (phone)

ABSENT:

OTHERS PRESENT: Mark Raimo, Town Manager

4. Recognitions – none.

5. Minutes.

a. September 5, 2023 – Regular Meeting

Motion: Mary Ann Rosa seconded by Ken Demirs: I move to approve the Regular Meeting minutes dated September 5, 2023 as presented.

Motion passed unanimously

6. Chairman's Report

a. Correspondence.

Chairman Jonathan Ramsay wanted to thank everyone that attended the Water & Sewer Informational Meeting last week. We had some questions answered and even more questions that came up and hopefully we will be able to answer those for you.

7. Town Manager's Report - none.

8. Subcommittee Reports.

Chairman Jonathan Ramsay stated the Senior Tax Relief subcommittee met before this meeting, it was voted to move a proposal to the Ordinance subcommittee for review. There is a State Senior Tax Relief Program and a local one, both have their own requirements, different income levels, marital status, credit amounts for different income amounts. What the proposal includes is having the local program mirror the state program. The Town Council each year would then review any changes that we might want to do. The State does change their income levels and rebates annually. The Town Council will have the option to make the same change annually or not.

9. New Business.

a. Presentation by the Housatonic Valley Association regarding the Highland Conservation Act.

Julia Rogers, Senior Land Protection Manager, Housatonic Valley Association talked about the Association and the Land Trust working to protect the environment's health, lands and waters that flow ultimately to the Housatonic Waters including tributaries to Naugatuck and the 10 miles of watersheds. Ms. Rogers talked about what the Association does with the Conservation Act supporting strategic land conservation initiatives. There is no additional reporting required to join the association, there is nothing that it commits you to, it gives the

opportunity for someday in the future if Watertown wanted to you would have the ability to access federal conservation dollars. We have nine towns that have agreed that they want to be included within this boundary expansion. In order to do so the town has to agree that you want to be added, the state then reviews all these proposals, has to agree that a town should be added to the region and then it goes to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for final review.

Vice Chair Mary Ann Rosa asked do we would need to take a formal vote indicating we want to be involved and submit that.

Julia Rogers answered we have seen towns do it in two fashions, some towns have had town leadership just write a letter to Commissioner Katie Dykes indicating their interest and that is sufficient or other towns have voted on a resolution indicating their interest. I would leave it up to you as to what the town feels most comfortable with.

Mark Raimo, Town Manager stated what I anticipated is that we would present you with a resolution at the next Council meeting for you to discuss and move it forward if you so choose.

Gary Lafferty asked you stated there was no charge at all for the town to belong to the organization.

Julia Rogers answered correct, this is deciding to be included within a federal highlands' region. There is no charge, there is no additional reporting requirement, it's an opportunity to potentially access funds in the future.

Ken Demirs asked the towns that you have the ones highlighted in yellow are already apart of this. The ones that are not highlighted in yellow those are the ones that are eligible. There are 18 of them, of the 18 do you already have 9.

Julia Rogers answered yes.

Chairman Jonathan Ramsay stated you mentioned applying through the State. What type of criteria does the state look at to make sure we are one of those 18 towns.

Julia Rogers answered we have been working in close conjunction with the State. I have showed them very similar maps and they sort of gave us the nod that they agree that these towns fit. Ultimately what happens at the state level will be determined. This is a new addition to this program so we don't know for sure but with all of our discussions I feel fairly confident that you all would be an easy yes for the state.

- b. Town Council discussion regarding the City of Waterbury versus the Town of Watertown pending litigation.

Mark Raimo, Town Manager stated as a follow up to some of the questions asked at the public meeting, taking into consideration the comments received at the public meeting, and collaborating with Attorney Jessell the following is noted:

To date, all discourse that has occurred with regard to this litigation has been based on the presumption that Watertown will lose this case on appeal, and that efforts should have been, and should be made now to settle this matter. All of the attorneys involved on behalf of Watertown in this matter are of the opinion that Watertown's appeal has significant merit which could ideally result in the reversal of the judgment for \$18.8mm in favor of Waterbury, and the matter being remanded with direction that Watertown and Waterbury enter into meaningful negotiations for new contracts based on just and equitable, fair and reasonable rates.

Insofar as settlement is concerned, the fact is that Watertown has always been prepared to negotiate rates as has been the history between the two towns for nearly 80 years. Even after the termination of the 2018 Water and Sewer Contracts, the Town of Watertown continued to inform Waterbury monthly that it stood ready to reopen negotiations. There were two mediations held between the parties. At the first session, held in April of 2019, Waterbury obviously came prepared not to negotiate, but simply to demand full payment of the then due payments, and an increase in the rates to the new demands. At the second session, held in December of 2022, it appeared that the judge was making some progress towards a settlement. However, all dates proffered by the Judge in February and March for the second session were deemed unacceptable by Waterbury, and, although they requested dates in April, Waterbury, in fact, had only one date available, which was not acceptable to Watertown. By this time, the trial was scheduled and the trial judge would not permit further extensions for mediation.

A variety of questions have arisen, again, based on the assumption that we lose the appeal, concerning how the judgment will be resolved. Following are some insights that should help explain the process:

1. The new rates chargeable by Waterbury for water and sewer services would have required that the rates charged to the individual users in Watertown would nearly double from their levels at the time. The purpose for not simply implementing those rates was twofold: first, to protect Watertown's challenge to those rates; and second, to protect the ratepayers from a very large rate increase.
2. The entire amount of any judgment will be the responsibility of the rate payers. The case is strictly about water and sewer rates payable to Waterbury, so that is where any payment must come from. The only

general fund impact resulting from this issue would be the impact on rates payable for town-owned buildings for water and sewer services.

3. For the same reason, all of the legal and expert fees have been billed to the Water and Sewer Authority and will continue to be treated that way. Suggestions that the attorney and expert bills are chargeable to the General Fund budget are simply incorrect.
4. Any thought that the amount due and payable will be billed to any homeowner as a lump sum or as an assessment is likewise incorrect. In the event that Watertown should ultimately lose the case on appeal, there would certainly be a rate increase, but it is also possible that payment for some of the back amounts owed would be paid to Waterbury using borrowing to be paid over a longer period of time. Again, all amounts owed would be paid from the Water and Sewer Authority. There will not be a retroactive determination with respect to each property receiving water and sewer services as some have stated.
5. There is currently approximately \$8 Million in capital and extension funds in the Water and Sewer Authority. Although the number \$9 Million was mentioned, that number is incorrect. In addition, in order to maintain appropriate levels of funds for unexpected expenses, one hundred percent of those funds could not be disbursed immediately in settlement of the lawsuit.
6. The Water and Sewer Authority is currently trying to determine an appropriate rate increase to implement in the very near future to attempt to set aside additional funds against a possible adverse decision on the appeal. In addition, over the course of the next few months, the Water and Sewer Authority, with the assistance of its rate consultant, will be studying not only the possible effects of an adverse decision, but also the needs to the water and sewer system over the next five to ten years in an effort to maintain the quality and level of service that the Watertown and Oakville ratepayers have come to expect.
7. Both the Town Council and, to a greater extent, the Water and Sewer Authority have been kept well informed on the progress of this matter throughout its history. All major decisions regarding this matter have been fully vetted before both boards, and have received unanimous support. Both boards are taking a critical look at this matter and everyone involved is making sure that every step is taken with appropriate oversight.

Rachael Ryan asked would it be possible to take all of that and put it into a question-and-answer form and post it on the website. It would be helpful to see what the question was and then see what your answer is. It might be helpful for the people in town to have access to it as well.

Mark Raimo, Town Manager answered we will address that by putting it on the Town's website as well as the Water & Sewer website.

Anthony DiBona asked about the 8 million dollars built up over time in the capital fund for the Water & Sewer.

Mark Raimo, Town Manager answered that's correct at some point I believe in 2016 I am not 100 percent on the year, the water rates were increased and that has been building since then.

Vice Chair Mary Ann Rosa stated I know the public has expected some kind of an explanation from Council members at the public meeting we held on why we voted the way we did and why did we put people in the position of having this lawsuit. I felt obligated to say something but obviously that was not the night we were allowed to do so. Tonight, I would like to make a statement with regards to why I personally decided that I would vote to appeal. I took one year's worth of water & sewer bills and I added them up which came to \$627.86. I then figured out given the information we have with regards to what the potential rate might be and my bill would go up to \$1,824.37 and we know there is the potential for an increase this coming year. I live in a household with just myself and my son, there are only the two of us. The thought that I would have to pay that kind of money and know that a family with three or four would be paying even more just was not acceptable. I didn't feel any rate payer should have to pay that kind of money for water and sewer. The second reason and probably just as important was the fact that if we accepted that rate Waterbury would be setting my rate, I live in Oakville for the future potential that our local Water & Sewer Department would have to add on money to maintain our services would make the bill even higher. I just didn't feel that was legally right and given the history that the attorneys have told everyone and told us as Council members I felt that I was on solid ground in saying it was something we should not accept. For the benefit of the ratepayers, we should appeal and that is why I supported the appeal and I will continue to do so.

Chairman Jonathan Ramsay commented some people think it was a political decision to appeal this decision. I just want to remind everyone that no one voted against it and secondly some of what Mary Ann had already mentioned, once we looked at the facts hearing from a number of attorneys, three, four, five attorneys that were all involved they all were in favor of an appeal, we all voted that is our best step forward. As it was mentioned before it was the first step before it even got to litigation. A decision that was slightly in our favor, the outcome of this litigation was not. I think most people think very strongly that the next round we will win but

there is no guarantee of that. I will also repeat what Mary Ann said I don't regret the decision; I will continue to support the appeal in this manner.

Vice Chair Mary Ann Rosa added I think the statement that Mark read tonight for the answers to the questions tells the public very clearly that they are not going to get a bill for the arrears of water. If they lived in the house for a year, they are not going to get a bill for water arrears for four or five years that someone else might have used water. There is a lot of misinformation out there about how this would be handled and those that are spreading false information are hurting the town. They are not hurting us as individuals because we know the truth. They are spreading false information about how this is going to be handled and why the litigation is going forward and that is a disservice to the town and to the rest of the residents in the town.

Rachael Ryan asked when I was on the Council when we voted for the appeal I was not on the Council when this started. I am just wondering how much the Town Council was aware of what water & sewer was doing and if there was a vote. I guess maybe Mark can clarify that because he did say the Town Council has been well informed there was unanimous consent and I didn't know if that was referring to the vote on taking the appeal or were their votes taken at the start of the dispute with Waterbury going back to 2018.

Mark Raimo, Town Manager answered my comments were based on what we are dealing with for the appeal. I don't have that information in my mind I would have to do some research as to who took votes.

Vice Chair Mary Ann Rosa added Waterbury brought the action against us so that didn't require us to do any voting. It only required attorneys to advise us what was occurring over the years as the litigation went forward. Did we vote to go into a lawsuit with Waterbury, no. We voted to appeal it when there was a judgement rendered but we didn't vote to enter a lawsuit if that was is what you were asking.

Chairman Jonathan Ramsay added there were executive sessions related to discussions on the manner before it became a lawsuit.

Vice Chair Mary Ann Rosa added there were updates on a regular basis that we were in executive session that we still were in the midst of litigation but there were never any votes taken of any kind.

NO ACTION REQUIRED

- c. Consider an appropriation from the General fund in the amount of \$994.14 to the Police Overtime Account. Funds deposited into the General Fund from participation in the Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Force.

Motion: Mary Ann Rosa seconded by Robert Desena: I make a motion to approve an appropriation from the General fund in the amount of \$994.14 to the Police Overtime Account as reimbursement to the account for participation in the Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Force.

Discussion: none.

Motion passed unanimously

- d. Consider an appropriation from the General Fund in the amount of \$520 for the purchase of a micro-chip scanner for Watertown Animal Control. Donations received in the Tax Collector and Town Clerk's Offices

Motion: Mary Ann Rosa seconded by Robert Desena: I make a motion to approve an appropriation from the General Fund in the amount of \$520 for the purchase of a micro-chip scanner for Watertown Animal Control. Funds have been received through donations.

Denise Russ stated at the previous meeting I would like to again thank the anonymous donor for coming forward and helping out the dog pound.

Chairman Jonathan Ramsay asked is this new or are we replacing one or getting a second or what's the scenario?

Chief Joshua Bernegger answered this will be replacing an older scanner that we have.

Motion passed unanimously

- e. Consider a resolution authorizing an appropriation of \$9,072 for tax refunds.

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, taxpayers have applied for Tax Refunds pursuant to Section 12-129, Refund of Excess Payments; and

WHEREAS, the Tax Collector recommended that the refunds be made in accordance with the provisions of Section 12-129; and

WHEREAS, in order to refund taxpayers who have been approved for their refunds, monies must be appropriated into the budget line item to expend the funds.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Town Council appropriates \$9,072 to line item 010-50341-043-0102 from the General Fund for tax refunds.

Dated at Watertown, Connecticut this 18th day of September, 2023.

Jonathan Ramsay, Chairman
Watertown Town Council

Motion: Mary Ann Rosa seconded by Robert Retallick: I make a motion to approve the resolution authorizing an appropriation from the General Fund in the amount of \$9,072 for tax refunds.

Discussion: none.

Motion passed unanimously

- f. Consider a resolution authorizing tax refunds.

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, taxpayers have made applications for property tax refunds in accordance with C.G.S. Section 12-129 *Refunds of Excess Payment*:

WHEREAS, the Tax Collector recommends that the refunds be made in accordance with the provisions of Section 12-1298;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Town Council approves the attached listing of tax refunds.

Dated at Watertown, Connecticut this 18th day of September, 2023.

Jonathan Ramsay, Chairman
Watertown Town Council

See attached

Motion: Mary Ann Rosa seconded by Robert Retallick: I make a motion to approve the resolution authorizing tax refunds.

Discussion: none.

Motion passed unanimously

- 10. Public Comment.

Al Mickel, 95 Woodvine Avenue stated there is good news and bad news tonight, the good news is I am not going have to pay for the previous eight to five years of water. The bad news is what Mary Ann said what her bill would have been if it had passed, the bad news

would be if we lost the case because then your number would be right, so keep up the good work.

11. Adjournment.

Motion: Mary Ann Rosa seconded by Robert Retallick: to adjourn the Regular Meeting at 7:28 p.m.

Motion passed unanimously

Respectfully submitted,

Jonathan Ramsay, Chairman
Watertown Town Council

Approved: _____
Susan King, Clerk

Town Council Regular Meeting September 18, 2023 Line item 9f

Bill	Name	Address	City/State/Zip	Prop Loc/Vehicle Info.	Reason	Tax	Int	Fees	Refund
2021-03-0054924	DELANEY MORGAN C	9 BITTERSWEET LANE	BURLINGTON, CT 0	2012/ZT3DK4DV7CW0793	Sec. 12-126 Tangible Personal Property Assessed in more than one Mu	342.45	-	-	342.45
2022-03-0050919	BABASICK KENNETH J	PO BOX 105	GREENLEY, PA 184	2019/1C6S8FF1KN7994	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	71.68	-	-	71.68
2022-03-0051050	BARBER PATRICIA A	28 CHESTNUT CT	WATERTOWN, CT 0	2014/3VWV7KAJEM3565	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	18.80	-	-	18.80
2022-03-0052742	CABRAL DAWN E	464 MOUNT FAIR DR	WATERTOWN, CT 0	2020/1C6S8FFJ7L1N16500	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments (DUPLICATE PAYMENT)	1,077.67	-	-	1,077.67
2022-03-0053257	CCAP AUTO LEASE LTD	1601 ELM ST	DALLAS, TX 75201	2020/1C4R1F8G2L16727	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	769.43	-	-	769.43
2022-03-0053314	CCAP AUTO LEASE LTD	1601 ELM ST	DALLAS, TX 75201	2020/1C4P1JMDX6L5118	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	475.90	-	-	475.90
2022-03-0053699	CIBA MICHAEL J	20 SIMMONSVILLE RD APT	DALLAS, TX 75201	2020/1C4R1FAG3L16873	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	125.23	-	-	125.23
2022-03-0055686	DIVITO DANIEL S	106 PINEBRIDGE DRIVE EXT	BLUFFTON, SC 299	2019/3CZRU6H36KG7091	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	44.14	-	-	44.14
2022-03-0056487	BABOO JANET	88 COBB ST B5 A4	OAKVILLE, CT 0677	2015/2T1BURHE6FC46001	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	46.52	-	-	46.52
2022-03-0057991	GERMAIN PHILIP P	29 TAFT CIR	OAKVILLE, CT 0677	2015/2T1BURHE6FC46001	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	25.64	-	-	25.64
2022-03-0058870	GUZMAN-ALMANZAR ENM	19 CENTER ST	WATERTOWN, CT 0	2004/JF1SG65664H7576	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	8.73	-	-	8.73
2022-03-0059437	HONDA LEASE TRUST	11675 GREAT OAKS WAY S	ALPHARETTA, GA 3	2022/3CZRU6H74NM7081	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	60.67	-	-	60.67
2022-03-0059490	HONDA LEASE TRUST	11675 GREAT OAKS WAY S	ALPHARETTA, GA 3	2019/5FNVF6H05KB00675	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	818.26	-	-	818.26
2022-03-0060073	JAHUA EKTOR	146 NEILL DR	WATERTOWN, CT 0	2019/4S3GTAA68K373495	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	266.01	-	-	266.01
2022-03-0060348	JP MORGAN CHASE BANK N	700 KANSAS LN LA4 4041	ALPHARETTA, GA 3	2020/1HGCV2F91LA01444	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	15.78	-	-	15.78
2022-03-0060718	KICA ELIZA	3 GREENWOOD ST	WATERTOWN, CT 0	2003/WDRBF81J93F36681	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	575.94	-	-	575.94
2022-03-0063028	MARTINEAU JOHN F	6 WOODGATE CIR	WATERTOWN, CT 0	2019/4S3GTAA68K373495	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	153.31	-	-	153.31
2022-03-0064251	MUAREMI RUSHIDAH	279 PORTER ST	WATERTOWN, CT 0	2019/4S3GTAA68K373495	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	402.60	-	-	402.60
2022-03-0064252	MUAREMI RUSHIDAH	279 PORTER ST	WATERTOWN, CT 0	2019/4S3GTAA68K373495	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	15.39	-	-	15.39
2022-03-0065426	PALMER MICHAEL J OR	220 MIDDLEBURY RD	WATERTOWN, CT 0	2008/WBPY93438WJ096	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	48.85	-	-	48.85
2022-03-0066395	POLETTA ROSARIO	54 LAKE VIEW DR	WATERTOWN, CT 0	2019/4S3GTAA68K373495	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	81.77	-	-	81.77
2022-03-0066412	POLZELLA JOSEPH P	85 FARM CIR	WATERTOWN, CT 0	2019/4S3GTAA68K373495	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	16.52	-	-	16.52
2022-03-0067541	ROSENGRANT DOROTHY E	3217 HANSON AVE	WATERTOWN, CT 0	2006/STEPX42N56Z30298	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	31.78	-	-	31.78
2022-03-0067638	RUBELMANN DAN E	29 CARMEL HILL RD	WATERTOWN, CT 0	2013/1FADP3K25DL31944	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	133.57	-	-	133.57
2022-03-0067688	RUFF PAMELA S	98 BIRCH ST	WATERTOWN, CT 0	2009/JF1SG65664H7576	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	450.87	-	-	450.87
2022-03-0068714	RUSSO TREVOR T	42 CANNON RIDGE DR	WATERTOWN, CT 0	2009/JF1SG65664H7576	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	13.70	-	-	13.70
2022-03-0068714	SLOSS GEORGE T	191 DAVIS ST LL	WATERTOWN, CT 0	2013/1FADP3K25DL31944	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	13.95	-	-	13.95
2022-03-0069495	TALARICO JOANNE	24 STEVENS BLVD	OAKVILLE, CT 0677	2005/1GKEK63U15114951	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	11.62	-	-	11.62
2022-03-0069499	TALARICO THOMAS JR	24 STEVENS BLVD	THOMASTON, CT 0	2018/1C4P1JMLX6JDS0174	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	549.22	-	-	549.22
2022-03-0069500	TALARICO THOMAS JR	24 STEVENS BLVD	THOMASTON, CT 0	2017/2FMPK4190HBC668	Sec. 12-126 Tangible Personal Property Assessed in more than one Mu	465.15	-	-	465.15
2022-03-0069727	THIER JESSICA L	91 ALBA DRIVE	THOMASTON, CT 0	2011/1ZVBP8EM4851347	Sec. 12-126 Tangible Personal Property Assessed in more than one Mu	222.68	-	-	222.68
2022-03-0070176	TURMEL RONALD T 3RD	40 OTIS DR	BRISTOL, CT 06010	2017/19UDE276HA0011	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments (DUPLICATE PAYMENT)	458.98	18.77	-	477.75
2022-03-0070683	VCF'S AUTO LEASING CO	PO BOX 91300	WATERTOWN, CT 0	2012/1GYS4BEF5CR20413	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	34.50	-	-	34.50
2022-03-0070720	VELEZIS GEORGE A	12654 LAMBERLOST DR	MOBILE, AL 36691	2020/YVA4102PKS153208	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	1,001.39	-	-	1,001.39
2022-03-0071730	VELEZIS GEORGE A	12654 LAMBERLOST DR	CARMEL, IN 46033	2004/KNALD124X4502889	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	5.32	-	-	5.32
2022-03-0071173	WATERS RICHARD W	1141 GUERNSEY TOWN RD	WATERTOWN, CT 0	2016/JTDK820U66319572	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	23.63	-	-	23.63
2022-03-0071603	WOODRING KARL D	230 OAK DR	WATERTOWN, CT 0	2013/1M3KE4E1D015671	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	103.46	2.14	-	105.60
2022-03-0071937	ZUKOWSKI GISELE D	67 HADLEY ST	WATERTOWN, CT 0	2009/2HGFA15899H5150	Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments - ACCOUNT PRORATED	55.15	-	-	55.15
TOTAL						9,050.51	20.91	-	9,071.42