1. Call Meeting to Order.
Chair Mary Ann Rosa called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

2. Pledge of Allegiance.
Chair Mary Ann Rosa led the pledge of allegiance.

3. Roll Call.
   PRESENT: Mary Ann Rosa, Chair
   Ken Demirs, Vice Chair
   Robert Desena
   Anthony DiBona
   Gary Lafferty
   Carina Noyd
   Jonathan Ramsay
   Robert Retallick
   Rachael Ryan

   ABSENT:

   OTHERS PRESENT: Mark Raimo, Town Manager

4. Recognitions – Mark Raimo, Town Manager stated at the last council meeting I recognized the Police Department, the Fire Department and Highway Department and I neglected to say thank you to our communications department, they are the system that keeps everybody in check and on track. Our communications through all those scenarios did an excellent job in directing our fire and police. I would like to recognize them since I did forget.
5. Minutes.
   
a. March 12, 2024 - Special Meeting

Motion: Ken Demirs seconded by Robert Retallick: I move to approve the Special Meeting minutes dated March 12, 2024 as corrected.

Rachael Ryan stated a correction on page seven, change popes to pipes and on page 13 her name was misspelled.

Motion passed unanimously.

6. Chairman’s Report
   
a. Correspondence.

Chair Mary Ann Rosa stated I would like to thank the council for the flowers for when I was ill with COVID and in the hospital, they were much appreciated and done by a local florist.

7. Subcommittee and Liaison Reports – none.

8. Town Manager’s Report.
   Mark Raimo reported:
   - I would like to bring some updates on paving, Public Works is gearing up for the new construction season. Middlebury Road and Hungerford will be completed this year as well as many other streets consistent with the Pavement Management Study. This will include skim coating, chip sealing and full depth milling and or reconstruction.
   - The re-evaluation process, the assessor is here tonight she will give a presentation under Item B New Business for your consideration.
   - The audit, all the documents have been submitted to King and King they are in the process of completing the work for the audit. We expect a response in the early part of April.
   - Regarding FOI, overhauling our FOI handling policy as you know we created an FOI policy in the last year. But as a result of implementing our CRM software we need to align that policy with the software usage. The department heads are going to be tasked with designating an FOI liaison for streamlined request processing. To facilitate this transition we have scheduled a training session with the FOI commission for April 26, 2024 to address staff inquiries and train liaisons effectively. I believe Watertown as a result of us trying to fulfill a lot of FOI requests that we have gone deeper into the retrieval of some documents. I feel it is important for us to
get clarification on what exactly is research when it comes to some of these FOI requests that we are being asked to fulfill. In an effort to get the proper training, I have asked the State of Connecticut to send the representative down for us to give training. This training will be open to the public and it’s scheduled for April 26th of this year, we do not have a time at this point but I am assuming it will be sometime in the morning.

- Clear Gov, the intent is to launch consistent with the April 11th Public Hearing. We anticipate that it’ll be forward facing for any resident to look and see what is going on in the budget.


   a. Consider appointments to boards and commissions.

      Motion: Ken Demirs seconded by Robert Retallick: I move to reappoint Ronald Mayne, 5 The Green, Watertown as a regular member of the Watertown Historic District Commission for a term to expire January, 2029.

      Discussion: none.

      Motion passed unanimously.

      Motion: Ken Demirs seconded by Robert Retallick: I move to appoint Peter Mucciarone, 63 Bower Street, Watertown as a member of the Watertown Housing Authority to fill the unexpired vacancy created by the resignation of Carmine Verno for a term to expire January 31, 2026.

      Chair Mary Ann Rosa stated indicated we wanted bios on appointments, we do have one for Peter Mucciarone. He has lived in town since 1986, he is married, he is retired from the Post Office and currently works at the Watertown Golf Club and has a lot of insight and experience to benefit the town.

      Motion passed unanimously.

   b. Discussion regarding proposed Town Manager/Board of Education Recommended Fiscal Year 2024-2025 budgets.

      Mark Raimo, Town Manager stated I thought it would be appropriate for the assessor to give her presentation so that you have that information before you started the discussion.

      Faith Richmond, Town Assessor gave a presentation to clarify the revaluation showing Board of Assessment Appeals, an overview of the Grand List, Residential
Commercial and Land breakdown, comparison with other towns with revaluations, motor vehicle taxes, single family home assessments, mil rates over time, grand net assessments and a pie chart to equalize the grand list

No Action Required

Chair Mary Ann Rosa reviewed the procedure on what is going to occur. We are going to come up with budget numbers this evening which we will send to the public hearing, that is on April 11th. We will then listen to the public that attends and after that we will come up with the final numbers and we will go to referendum for a vote. There is plenty of time either at public hearing or after that to communicate with the council relative to the figures, as you can see, they are high.

Rachael Ryan asked a question Water and Sewer, I was going through all the information you put together on your presentation and I know there was one number with the one percent increase was going to be 14% but I know there was some other numbers that it was different. I want to know succinctly what this means for the rate payers in Watertown if we go forward with it, everything you suggested we do? I know we still need the rate study, there’s the lawsuit, I know how complicated this is, my question is, will the amount for Water & Sewer users go up. Do you have any idea of how much?

David McMahon, Water & Sewer Assistant answered I don’t have a specific number on that but it’s a question of as we correct the INI issue to bring that money back down. It should level out what we are doing on the previous rate increase and then what is proposed possibly for July when we get the rate study hopefully before we pass the budget.

Rachael Ryan asked you have to spend a fair amount of money with the INI in terms of the manhole covers and all of that, correct?

David McMahon answered yes, but it is also chasing the funding from DEEP. As we file the applications for different projects to correct the INI that will bring that down on paying for groundwater going to Waterbury. If we have another wet season, if we pay another 3.26 million because of ground water as we correct different issues, we should bring that down. We are not going to correct it 100% you will never get it done but it is a question of if we get it down to a reasonable number or an allowable number it should offset in future years budgets.

Rachael Ryan asked you think over the course of the next year you might be able to make a lot of progress.
David McMahon answered no, the INI is a five- or ten-year project to handle that much of a project.

Rachael Ryan asked I am trying to get a sense of how much rate payers might be paying on top of their property taxes to get the full picture.

David McMahon answered without guaranteeing it I would say the rate increase possible for July it shouldn’t be that substantial after that.

Robert Retallick asked could you explain what the INI means?

David McMahon answered the INI is infiltration and inflow into the sewer system that ends up being processed by Waterbury’s Water Pollution Control Plant. It’s due to illegal sump pump connections, broken laterals, broken mains, manhole covers that have holes that allow the draining of a road to go into the sewer collection system. We had quite a bit of inflow just last because of the rainy season that we had.

Robert Retallick asked do you have the roundabout gallons that you thought went into the sewer system that should have gone into the river system and why you are trying to decrease that?

David McMahon answered I will round off it was 349 million, we say it was 350 million gallons was purchased. We send Waterbury 876 million so the difference of that is 526.55 million gallons of INI ground water that went to Waterbury because of the INI issue.

Rachael Ryan asked Dr. Alison Villanueva, Superintendent, I thought your presentation was excellent, you did a fantastic job of coming in at 3.98% and asked if the Town Council voted to cut your budget this evening, have you thought about where that would impact your budget and where you might have to make cuts?

Dr. Alison Villanueva, Superintendent answered I have not identified exactly where we would go for adjustments. As you know I follow a zero-base budget and I give you the number that we officially need. As I stated in the presentation with just contracts alone keeping everything flat it would have been a 4.28% increase with just contracts. We were able to find some of those efficiencies in health insurance to bring it in under 4 at 3.98. With that being said typically the first place would be would be personnel because I presented a zero-based budget otherwise, I would go to other areas. However, I will give this possibility and I will call it a possibility but it needs to be a partnership possibility. Is the way in which we kind of attack health insurance fairly aggressively and I brought in a consultant to do that work. It would be to go in
and aggressively look at all shared partnerships and see if I can find efficiencies there before, I touch personnel and move to layoffs.

Rachael Ryan asked Joshua Bernegger, Police Chief if we were to cut the town side of the budget, have you thought about what might have to go from the Police Department?

Joshua Bernegger, Police Chief answered that depends on the figure, if it’s a large percentage generally personnel cuts would be the only true answer, if it’s a smaller number we would be looking at reducing the asking of how many vehicles that we are looking for this year. The equipment line items are a small percentage of the overall budget.

Jonathan Ramsay asked Joshua Bernegger in your proposed budget you were asking for two additional police officers is that yes correct and then those were cut out already?

Mark Raimo, Town Manager answered that is correct.

Rachael Ryan asked Jerry Lukowski, Director of Public Works asked if we were to make budget cuts to the town side do you have any idea where?

Jerry Lukowski, Director of Public Works answered I haven’t thought which budget I do think I came in low with the amount of infrastructure that we have to repair across the town especially with the focus on drainage for this year that we had. I don’t have a specific location in the budget for a cut.

c. Vote on Fiscal Year 2024-2025 Budgets to be sent to Budget Public Hearing.

Motion: Ken Demirs seconded by Robert Retallick: I move that the following budgets be approved to be sent to Budget Public Hearing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Town General Fund</td>
<td>$37,385,893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. School General Fund</td>
<td>55,305,902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund Total</td>
<td>$92,691,795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Town Road Aid</td>
<td>353,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Water Operations</td>
<td>2,847,474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Water Capital</td>
<td>680,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The amounts represent the following:

- Reduction in the Town General Fund Budget by $800,000
- Reduction in the School General Fund Budget by $800,000

Rachael Ryan stated I am completely opposed to making any cuts at this time that is why we have the public hearing to hear from the public. I understand it is a sizeable decrease in the mill rate but increase in taxes and as you heard from the department heads if we make sizeable cuts which these are both large that would mean they would have to cut personnel. I personally went on a ride along with the Police Department earlier this year and that was incredibly informative to see the one police officer could cover in a shift. I know that the Police Chief had pushed hard to have more sworn officers so we could cover more of the town. I saw firsthand I saw how important that was and there were a bunch of different calls that night from serious health issues, traffic violations, potential fires, we need that coverage, I would not want to cut any police officers. I think Mr. Lukowski has done an excellent job with the Public Works Department and has made it very clear that this town is in need of some significant improvements and we need to focus on repaving the roads but the water is going to keep coming and keep coming. This week there is going to be terrible weather and it’s already spring and we need to be prepared for that. The Superintendent of Education did an excellent job and her budget is only a 4% increase where the town is a 6.6% increase it makes sense to me to then decrease each budget by the same dollar amount. I also don’t think that some of us on the Town Council had enough information to make this decision. Having the assessor give us the presentation tonight was something that I had asked for weeks ago. I just personally don’t feel informed enough as a teacher. I do my homework and I really want to have as much information as possible. I think the department heads did an excellent job with their presentations. That is not where I am finding a lack of information but we know there is software issues with the budget and it was just very complicated. I think it is ill-advised to make any cuts at the moment.

Anthony DiBona stated this is a very difficult thing for us and I just want to clarify we keep saying cuts, that we are cutting. We are actually not cutting, the budget as it was in previous fiscal year, we are trying to slow the spending. Some homeowners might see a tax increase of $1,000, $1,500, $2,000 it could be $700.00 everyone it looks like is going up. We are trying to balance funding this town with not really
hurting the community in terms of all the many increases everyone is seeing at the grocery store. We are trying to strike that balance and it’s not easy.

Chair Mary Ann Rosa stated we are a service organization, we service children, residents in one manner or another. Obviously, our costs are high and they increase each year as they do for us as residents in the town. The problem is the town’s income has not increased in proportion to our costs. We have to at some point in time decide what services we no longer want or whether we are going to pay whatever it costs. I am a senior citizen that lives on Social Security, I am not ashamed to say that and an $800.00 increase in my taxes is too much and I think there is enough families in town right now that would feel the same way. What we are doing tonight is only sending numbers to the public hearing. We have not pointed at any particular departments that would be for the Town Manager and the Superintendent to do talking with department heads. That will only be done after the public hearing, when we hear what residents have to say. If they don’t support the cuts, if they want the money restored that’s one avenue, if they want us to cut further because even with these numbers there is going to be an increase of lot of money. We will listen to the public; we will put out numbers again and we will go to a budget hearing and ultimately the people in the town will decide whether they want to pay the price for what it costs and we rely on the residents to tell us what they want to do. We want the same terrific police and fire service we have; we don’t want to harm that. Public Works we need desperately and obviously the school department dictates how people feel about our town and our community and whether they support it. We give our time to try and make all those things as good as we can but we have to be realistic about what we spend and that’s why tonight we are recommending a reduction and we will leave it up to the people to decide.

Jonathan Ramsay added two small pieces of information with this cut the mill rate would be estimated around the 28.3839 in that range and that might seem based on the slide we had up there earlier, it is probably one of the lowest mil rates we have had in a very long time. With that said this is probably among the highest tax increases probably to the exception of last year that was also quite high. I don’t want anyone to be fooled when they see what I think everyone would consider a very low mil rate that doesn’t always interpret the same way when it comes down to the taxes you are going to pay. With the handful of people that I have talked to, I don’t think anyone’s taxes I talked to were going up less than $700.00 a year, some people it was going up double that. We are all in the same boat as far as the costs going up, taxes are going up and we all know the cost-of-living, water and sewer it’s all going up unfortunately. I think this cut is necessary to try to keep some of those costs under control.

Aye: 7 Rosa, Demirs, Desena, DiBona, Lafferty, Ramsay, Retallick
Nay: 2 Noyd, Ryan
Abstain: 0
d. Consider setting the Public Hearing date to hear comments on the proposed Fiscal Year 2024-2025 budgets.

Motion: Ken Demirs seconded by Robert Retallick: I move to set the Budget Public Hearing to be held on April 11, 2024 at 7:00 p.m. at Swift Middle School, 250 Colonial Street, Oakville. The public hearing will be an in person public hearing and available in listen only mode. Those wishing to submit written testimony for the record may do so by April 10, 2024.

Discussion: none.

Motion passed unanimously.

e. Consider the renewal of the lease with Sunset Grill for the restaurant at Crestbrook Park Golf Course.

Motion: Ken Demirs seconded by Robert Desena: I move to approve the renewal of the lease with Sunset Grill for the restaurant at Crestbrook Park Golf Course as represented in the memorandum dated March 18, 2024 from Atty. Paul Jessell which outlines the lease extension which will be attached to the minutes of this meeting.

Rachael Ryan asked why the $100.00 increase?

Michael Ganem, Director of Parks and Recreation answered the lease is coming up for renewal soon. The current tenants wanted to reduce their monthly cost. The Parks and Recreation Commission did not accept their offer and counter offered with an escalation of $100.00 a month for five years.

Rachael Ryan asked I just wondered how you came up with that number?

Michael Ganem answered we met in executive session about this because it was a real estate negotiation but I think the commission was by in large pleased with the condition of the restaurant, they are doing a good job. I think we had to balance the potential of losing a valued tenant in a few years, that is not something that the Parks and Recreation felt comfortable with.
Rachael Ryan asked do they feel like they can operate a successful business with this new lease agreement. The Parks and Recreation Commission feels this is a fair amount to charge?

Michael Ganem answered yes.

William Donston, Chair of Parks and Recreation Commission added to answer your question I came up with the scale. What I did was I looked back what Attorney Jessell gave us, the approximate square footage of the restaurant and the going price and it was approximately $5,200.00 a month. You are going to lose the tenant and you are going out the door and you have nothing up there. I made a scale for $100.00 a month is only for the first year of that escalating scale, the second year is going to be $200.00 a month, the third year $300.00 a month, the fourth year $400.00 and so on. The restaurant had proposed to us to stay at the $4,000 rate that they are at now with a reduction of $1,000 for the months of January, February and March where they claim they don’t do as much business. The commission felt that we are not in the business to subsidies a restaurant, they are a tenant they should be paying a fair share. We did not allow the $3,000 deduction overall. We did say you can reduce it at that time and like COVID pay it back in June, July, August. You are not getting a rebate of $3,000 a year on the restaurant for those months and it’s an escalating scale. It will be $4,500 a month by the time of year five.

Motion passed unanimously.

f. Consider an appropriation in the amount of $6,000 from the Water Fund Balance and $6,000 for the Sewer Fund Balance for a total of $12,000 for which $6,000 is for the Sewer Generator Service Contract line item and $6,000 is for the Water Generator Service Contract line item.

Motion: Ken Demirs seconded by Robert Retallick: I move to approve the appropriation in the amount of $6,000 from the Water Fund Balance and $6,000 for the Sewer Fund Balance for a total of $12,000 for which $6,000 is for the Sewer Generator Service Contract line item and $6,000 is for the Water Generator Service Contract line item.

Jonathan Ramsay asked was this not in the Water & Sewer’s budget in prior years or why do we need to appropriate this from their funds.

David McMahon, Assistant Water & Sewer answered I am asking for the increase because we had to do a load bank testing for two hours that is the increase on the cost of the extended part of the service contract that we already had with them.

Motion passed unanimously.
g. Consider an appropriation in the amount of $25,000 from the Water Fund Balance to the Pumping Equipment Maintenance line item to cover the service repairs to the Fern Hill Water Pump Station.

Motion: Ken Demirs seconded by Robert Retallick: I move to approve the appropriation in the amount of $25,000 from the Water Fund Balance to the Pumping Equipment Maintenance line item to cover the service repairs to the Fern Hill Water Pump Station.

Discussion: none.

Motion passed unanimously.

h. Consider setting a special town meeting date, time and place to consider an appropriation in the amount of $60,000 from the Water Fund Balance to the Maintenance of Mains line item for the Bunker Hill Road Water Main Break Repairs, Pressure Reducing Valve Inspections, and Leak Detection.

Motion: Ken Demirs seconded by Robert Retallick: I move to set a special town meeting to be held on Monday, April 15, 2024 at 6:45 p.m. at Watertown Hall, Town Council Chambers to consider an appropriation in the amount of $60,000 from the Water Fund Balance to the Maintenance of Mains line item for the Bunker Hill Road Water Main Break Repairs, Pressure Reducing Valve Inspections, and Leak Detection.

Discussion: none.

Motion passed unanimously.

i. Consider a wage increase for the Deputy Fire Chief Fiscal Year 2024-2025.

Motion: Ken Demirs seconded by Robert Retallick: I move to approve a wage increase for the Deputy Fire Chief for Fiscal Year 2024-2025 to be three percent; this increase will be in addition to the $3,016 previously voted on at the Town Council meeting on March 18, 2024 which will align the salary with the Deputy Fire Marshal.

Rachael Ryan asked could I get an explanation as to what is meant by will align the salary with the Deputy Fire Marshal.

Chair Mary Ann Rosa answered it would be the same as. My understanding is they were the same when we didn’t give the 3% raise, it changed that is that correct Chief? We neglected to put that position on when we did the 3% raise.
Davie Bromley, Fire Chief answered to clarify in my budget I put in the 3,016 to align the pay scale with the Deputy Fire Marshal. When the Deputy Fire Marshal was hired, he was hired at a higher rate, they were supposed to be hired together. I aligned them together with the 3% that he didn’t get, it misaligned it again. With the 3% raise in addition to it now, they are equal pay as it was set up when they first were hired.

Rachael Ryan asked the Deputy Fire Chief and the Deputy Fire Marshal will make the exact same salary.

Davie Bromley answered not the same salary, same hourly rate. The Deputy Fire Chief gets 20 hours a week right now and the Deputy Fire Marshal gets 15 hours but they will be the same hourly rate.

Aye: 8 Rosa, Demirs, Desena, Dibona, Lafferty, Noyd, Ramsay, Retallick
Nay: 0
Abstain: 1 Ryan

Motion passed.

j. Consider a resolution authorizing an appropriation from the General Fund in the amount of $816.74 for tax refunds.

Motion: Ken Demirs seconded by Jonathan Ramsay: I move to approve the resolution authorizing an appropriation from the General Fund in the amount of $816.74 for tax refunds.

Discussion: none.

Motion passed unanimously.

k. Consider a resolution authorizing tax refunds.

Motion: Ken Demirs seconded by Jonathan Ramsay: I move to approve the resolution authorizing tax refunds.

Discussion: none.

Motion passed unanimously.


Mr. Longo, 83 Bella Vista Drive commented on the FOIA requests in regards to drainage and a covert pipe located on his property filed with the town. Mr. Longo talked about the budget and asked to double check the math.
Frankie Popilowski, 35 Chestnut Ave talked about the budget, the department needs for money that she had never heard of before and that she understands that things need to be done and asked what is going to happen when the bottom falls out of our property. The one budget that should not be cut back is the police officers and didn’t think teachers should be making more than a police officer. She talked about waste in the budget. I am on a fixed income through Social Security it is going to hurt everybody, people can’t afford to stay here anymore.

Richard Rozanski, 35 Reynolds Street wanted to clarify numbers for the budget and the estimated number on the mil rate.

Al Mickel, 95 Woodvine Avenue asked if the Finance Sub-committee gave any indication to the Town Manager where he should cut. Mr. Mickle asked about the current budget online if it is the same one as March 1st online besides with potential $800,000 cut and asked for electronic copy. Mr. Mickel suggested the Town and the Finance Committee should go back and look at the current cuts and see what can be cut and cannot be cut and suggested no cuts to police and fire. I am a senior citizen living on a fixed income and have taken precautions or actions to reduce the use of his water.

11. Adjournment.

Motion: Jonathan Ramsay seconded by Ken Demirs: to adjourn the Regular Meeting at 8:08 p.m.

Motion passed unanimously.

Respectfully submitted,

____________________________
Mary Ann Rosa, Chair
Watertown Town Council

Approved: __________________________
Susan King, Clerk
MEMO

TO: Mark Raimo, Town Manager
   Watertown Town Council
   Michael Ganem, Director of Parks & Recreation
RE: Renewal of Lease to Sunset Grill – Crestbrook Park

FROM: Paul R. Jessell, Town Attorney

As you know, the owners of the Sunset Grill have requested to exercise their option for an additional five-year term on their lease, from April 1, 2024 through March 31, 2029. According to the lease, rent for the option is to be agreed upon based on market rents. Sunset Grill requested that the rents be set at $4,000 per month for the entire option term, with the rent for January through March of each year set at $3,000 per month.

The Parks and Recreation Commission, at their meeting on March 7, 2024, voted to recommend to the Town Council that the rents be set at $4,100 per month for the year April 1, 2024 through March 31, 2025, with the rent increasing $100 per month each year, with the final year of the option, from April 1, 2028 through March 31, 2029, at $4,500 per month. The Commission also voted to recommend that the rent be $1,000 per month less for the months of January through March of each year of the option, but that the $3,000 saved annually would be added at the rate of $333.33 per month in each of the other months each year.

I had a discussion with the restaurant owner who was not totally happy with the Commission’s vote but agreed. He stated, however, that rather than the process voted by the Commission, he would just pay the normal monthly rental (i.e. $4,100 for the first year) in full, with no reduction for the months of January through March.

As a result, the Parks and Recreation Commission’s recommendation to the Town Council is as follows:

The Lease be extended for five years beginning April 1, 2024 and ending March 31, 2029. Rent to be paid at the following rates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Annual Rent</th>
<th>Monthly Rent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April 1, 2024 – March 31, 2025</td>
<td>$49,200.00</td>
<td>$4,100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 1, 2025 – March 31, 2026</td>
<td>$50,400.00</td>
<td>$4,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 1, 2026 – March 31, 2027</td>
<td>$51,600.00</td>
<td>$4,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 1, 2027 – March 31, 2028</td>
<td>$52,800.00</td>
<td>$4,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 1, 2028 – March 31, 2029</td>
<td>$54,000.00</td>
<td>$4,500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ACTION TAKEN BY THE TOWN COUNCIL:

At a regular meeting of the Town Council held on _____ day of _________________2024, it was authorized to refund property taxes, interest, and fees amounting to $ _________________ to the below applicants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>City/State/Zip</th>
<th>Prop Loc/Vehicle Info.</th>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Tax</th>
<th>Int</th>
<th>Fee</th>
<th>Refund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2021-03-0053435</td>
<td>CHERILIN LYNN</td>
<td>5417 KINGS HWY AB4</td>
<td>BROOKLYN, NY 11203</td>
<td>2012/HGCP2F32CA109825</td>
<td>Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments.</td>
<td>233.06</td>
<td>27.97</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>266.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022-03-0053516</td>
<td>CHERILIN LYNN</td>
<td>5417 KINGS HWY AB4</td>
<td>BROOKLYN, NY 11203</td>
<td>2012/HGCP2F32CA109825</td>
<td>Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments.</td>
<td>278.12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>283.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022-03-0072135</td>
<td>DEFALCO ANTHONY M</td>
<td>564 SUNNYSIDE AVE</td>
<td>WATERTOWN, CT 06795</td>
<td>2019/JFVA1H67K9818394</td>
<td>Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments -</td>
<td>21.36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022-02-0040583</td>
<td>INTEGRATED SOFTWARE SPEC</td>
<td>4 CANNON RIDGE DR</td>
<td>WATERTOWN, CT 06795</td>
<td>4 CANNON RIDGE DR</td>
<td>Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022-03-0060169</td>
<td>JESUS IS THE WAY THE TRUTH</td>
<td>498 NOVA SCOTIA HILL RD</td>
<td>WATERTOWN, CT 06795-1626</td>
<td>2008/JF6NF53Y880A03228</td>
<td>Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments.</td>
<td>36.36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>36.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022-03-0063829</td>
<td>MIGHTY MARSHA O</td>
<td>24 CENTER ST</td>
<td>WATERTOWN, CT 06795</td>
<td>2009/JTMBF33V09D011179</td>
<td>Sec. 12-129 Refund of Excess Payments.</td>
<td>114.29</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>117.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL**                  |                  |                  |                  |                        |                              |       | 31.40 | 10.60 | 816.74   |

__________________________

Susan King, Clerk of the Town Council